Stochastic resetting by a random amplitude
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I. INTRODUCTION

Einstein [1] established the probabilistic approach to Brownian motion based on the assumption that individual displacements of the tracer particle are independent (uncorrelated) beyond a microscopic correlation time, identically distributed, and characterized by a finite variance. This “schematization ... represents well the properties of real Brownian motion” [2]. The theoretical description of stochastic processes, based on the formulation of fluctuating forces by Langevin [3], is by now one of the cornerstones of nonequilibrium physics [4–6], with a wide field of applications across the sciences, engineering, and beyond.

An important application of diffusive dynamics is in the theory of search processes [7]. Random search strategies are efficient processes when prior information about the target is lacking [8,9] or when the searcher itself can only move diffusively, such as molecular reactants [10]. A number of specific strategies have been studied as generalizations of the classical Brownian search [11], such as Lévy flights [12,13], intermittent search [14,15], and facilitated diffusion [16,17]. Applications of these strategies are found in biochemistry [10,18], biology [19], computer science [20], and economy [21].

The effects of resetting events, when a stochastic process is returned to its original state, were studied in a neuron model [22] and in the context of multiplicative processes [23]. In the seminal work by Evans and Majumdar [24] stochastic resetting (SR) was defined as the stochastic interruption of a random motion, resetting the particle to its initial position and starting the process anew. A particular feature is that the mean first passage time in a diffusive search becomes finite and can be minimized [25]. Stochastic resetting is thus widely applied to search processes.

Stochastic resetting has two random input variables. One is the particle’s random motion between resets, for which numerous processes were considered [26–34]. The other variable describes the stochastic time span between successive resets, with a variety of studied distributions [35–41]. Concrete SR mechanisms include resetting to an initial distribution [25] to the previous maximum [42], resetting with a memory [43], resetting after a delay [27,39,44–46], space-time coupled resets [32,33,47–50], and noninstantaneous resetting. Stochastic resetting in confinement was considered for different dimensions [51], with different boundary conditions [28,52,53], or in a potential [54–57]. Finally, interacting particle effects were studied [58–61]. Applications of SR were discussed in the context of web searches in computer science [62,63], enzymatic velocity [44,64], reaction-diffusion processes with stochastic decay [65], backtrack recovery by RNA polymerase [66], and pollution strategies [67]. The first experimental realization of SR was achieved by tracing diffusing colloidal particles reset by switching holographic optical tweezers [68].

Here we consider a random-amplitude SR (RASR), motivated by geophysical stratigraphic records [69,70], made up of the layers of sedimentary material that accumulated in depositional environments but were not subjected to subsequent erosion. These layers (beds) are separated by erosional surfaces where previously existing material was removed by chemical reaction or physical forces. The periods of time missing from the geologic record due to erosion are known as stratigraphic hiatuses [71]. It was in fact Hans Einstein, Albert Einstein’s son, who applied probabilistic approaches to stratigraphic records [69]. Geologists use the stratigraphic record to infer the earth’s history, and the sediment bed type is used to interpret the depositional setting (river, delta, lake, dune, etc.). If sediment at multiple points within the stratigraphic column can be dated using geochronological techniques such as C14 dating [72], average linear rates of accumulation can be calculated. These rates may be serve as proxies for external forcing such as climate regime.

The generation of the stratigraphic record is typically modeled as a random process. Thus, random surface elevation at a...
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The layout of the paper is as follows (compare also the
scheme in Fig. 1). We first develop the general resetting picture
of our RASR model in Sec. II. Section III introduces
the concept of independent resetting, in which the coordi-
nate of the process does not depend on the position before
resetting. The opposite case, dependent resetting, is developed
in Sec. IV. In both cases we consider specific cases for the
timing of the resets and the resetting amplitude statistic. We
summarize and draw our conclusions in Sec. V. Additional
derivations are deferred to the Appendixes.

II. GENERAL RESETTURING PICTURE

In the RASR model \( \psi(t) \) denotes the probability density
function (PDF) of time spans between resetting events, and
the PDF for the time \( t \) at which the \( n \)th resetting event occurs is
\[
\psi_n(t) = \int_0^t \psi_{n-1}(t-t') \psi(t') dt',
\]
with \( \psi_0(t) = \delta(t) \). In Laplace space, therefore, \( \tilde{\psi}_n(s) = \tilde{\psi}_n^s(s) \).
The probability
\[
\Psi(t) = 1 - \int_0^t \psi(t') dt'
\]
with \( \psi_0(t) = \delta(t) \) becomes \( \tilde{\Psi}(s) = [1 - \tilde{\psi}(s)]/s \). Finally, the
probability to have exactly \( n \) resets up to \( t \) is
\[
\Phi_n(t) = \int_0^t \psi_n(t') \Psi(t-t') dt'.
\]
In what follows we consider independent and identically
distributed resetting time intervals by using the examples of
constant interval lengths (constant pace) and Poisson-
distributed intervals. The RASR process can have independent

FIG. 1. Flowchart of the two main concepts, independent and de-
dependent random amplitude stochastic resetting with specific choices
of the resetting and propagation statistics.

given point on the earth moves upward (by deposition), stays
constant (no erosion or deposition), or decreases (erosion).
Deposition and erosion are continuous and were described by
different stochastic processes, starting with the work of Kol-
mogorov [73]. Since then a variety of stochastic models (inter
alia, random walks [74] or fractional Brownian motion [75])
were used to probe the fidelity of the stratigraphic record with
respect to the earth’s history. The observation that measured
linear rates of accumulation decrease as a power law with
measurement interval in a variety of geologic settings [76]
was attributed to power-law hiatus lengths, which in turn arise
because they are created by return times of random surface
fluctuations [77]. Here we explore an additional mechanism
for erosion, typical for regular (e.g., seasonal) or irregular
massive erosion events, such as extreme rainfall, storms, or
floods. In these cases the surface is eroded away by a sizable
amount during a short period in time. The exact erosion height
will be different each time. We model such extreme events
by RASR: Resetting occurs at random intervals with random
amplitude (Fig. 2). The guiding example we consider in the
following is that of ballistic propagation of the process, inter-
rupted by RASR events. Such ballistic motion may reflectONGOING ACCRETION

FIG. 2. RASR sample paths with ballistic displacement \((v = 0.5)\) and (a) and (b) independent (Poissonian with mean \( \zeta = 1.6 \)) and
(c) and (d) dependent (uniformly distributed) resetting amplitudes. Resetting events \((\times)\) occur (a) and (c) at a constant pace and (b) and
(d) with Poissonian waiting times, both with mean rate \( r = \frac{1}{2} \).
resetting amplitudes $z_n$ at the $n$th step that do not have a lower bound [Figs. 2(a) and 2(b)]. For dependent (bounded) resetting amplitudes the process never crosses to negative heights $x(t_0)$ [Figs. 2(c) and 2(d)].

Let the term $x(t)\big| x(t_0)$ denote the position $x$ at a certain time $t$ provided that at time $t_0$ the position was $x_0 = x(t_0)$. For the derivations of the first resetting picture we will use the general relation
\begin{equation}
x(t)\big| x(t_0) = \begin{cases} y(t)\big| x(t_0) & \text{with probability } \Psi(t - t_0) \text{ for } 0 \leq t \\ x(t)\big| x(t_1) & \text{with probability } \int_{t_0}^{t} dt_1 \Psi(t_1 - t_0). \end{cases}
\end{equation}

Equation (4) shows two possibilities. The upper line describes the possibility of no reset at $[t_0, t]$ with the corresponding probability $\Psi(t - t_0)$. In this scenario the process, starting at position $x_0 = x(t_0)$ at time $t_0$, fulfills a specific displacement process $y(t)$. Thus, with probability $\Psi(t - t_0)$ the process $x(t) = y(t)$, which is stochastically described by $G(y, t; x_0, t_0)$. The lower line of Eq. (4) describes the first resetting point $x(t_1)$ at the random resetting event $t_1$ as a new initial condition of $x(t)$. The new initial condition $x_1$ at $t_1$ will be described by the distribution $\phi(x_1; t_1; x_0, t_0)$, which is, without loss of generality, dependent on the previous initial condition $x_0$ at $t_0$. The corresponding probability for this event is $\int_{t_0}^{t} dt_1 \Psi(t_1 - t_0)$ for $t_1 \in [t_0, t]$. With Eq. (4) we can find the expression for the corresponding PDF $P(x, t; x_0, t_0)$,
\begin{equation}
P(x, t; x_0, t_0) = \Psi(t - t_0)G(x, t; x_0, t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t} dt_1 \Psi(t_1 - t_0) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_1 \phi(x_1; t_1; x_0, t_0) \times P(x, t; x_1, t_1). \end{equation}

In Eq. (5), $\phi(x_1; t_1; x_0, t_0)$ is the distribution of the first resetting point $x_1 = x(t_1)$ at time $t_1$ under the condition that the process started at position $x_0$ at time $t_0$. The computation of $\phi(x_1; t_1; x_0, t_0)$ depends on which kind of resetting mechanism we will use.

### III. INDEPENDENT resetting picture

For independent resetting the height after the $n + 1$st resetting event is
\begin{equation}
x(t_{n+1}) = y(t_{n+1})\big| x(t_n) + z_{n+1},
\end{equation}
with the initial condition $x(t_0) = x_0$. Here $y(t_{n+1})\big| x(t_n)$ defines the unperturbed motion during the time interval $t_{n+1} - t_n$ starting from point $x(t_n)$. Moreover, $z_{n+1}$ is an independent and identically distributed resetting amplitude of negative value, $z_n \in (-\infty, 0)$. This setup corresponds to our picture of sudden massive erosion, population decimation, or financial market loss, in which the resetting amplitude is viewed independently of the process. Conceptually, this type of RASR corresponds to jump diffusion with one-sided jump lengths [82,83].

For $n = 0$, Eq. (6) yields
\begin{equation}
x(t_1) = y(t_1)|x_0 + z_1.
\end{equation}

The sum of two random variables implies the convolution of the corresponding PDFs. Thus, with Eq. (7), $\phi_1(x_1, t_1; x_0, t_0)$ is
\begin{equation}
\phi_1(x_1, t_1; x_0, t_0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy G(y, t_1; x_0, t_0)q(x_1 - y).
\end{equation}
The PDF $P(x, t; x_0, t_0)$ to propagate from $x_0$ at $t_0$ to $x(t)$ is obtained by plugging the relation (8) into Eq. (5), yielding
\begin{equation}
P(x, t; x_0, t_0) = \Psi(t - t_0)G(x, t; x_0, t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t} dt_1 \Psi(t_1 - t_0) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy G(y, t_1; x_0, t_0) \times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_1 q(x_1 - y)P(x, t; x_1, t_1).
\end{equation}
The first term on the right-hand side involves the PDF $G(x, t; x_0, t_0)$ for undisturbed motion without resetting, where the probability $\Psi(t)$ denotes no resetting during the time from $t_0$ to $t$. The second term describes free propagation from $(x_0, t_0)$ to the first resetting point $(x_1, t_1)$, at which a reset to $x_1$ occurs with the amplitude PDF $q(x_1 - y)$. Then the process is propagated by $P(x, t; x_1, t_1)$. Equation (9) can be iterated to include all resetting steps. From that derivation one can see that the PDF $P(x, t; x_0, t_0)$ is homogeneous, $P(x, t; x_1, t_0) = P(x - x_0, t - t_0; 0, 0)$, exactly when $G$ is homogeneous. In the setting of Eq. (9) we can describe a general resetting process with arbitrary propagation and independent resetting events. The first resetting picture described here can be shown to be identical to the last resetting picture, as demonstrated for independent resetting in Appendixes A and B. We now consider special cases for the propagation, resetting times, and amplitudes.

### A. Ballistic propagation

An illustrative example is given by ballistic propagation (and in fact a special case of the jump process considered in [83]) with speed $v$, $G(x, t) = \delta(x - vt)$, where we set $x_0 = 0$ and $t_0 = 0$. To compute the characteristic function $\tilde{P}(k, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \exp(ikx)P(x, t)$ of $P(x, t) = P(x, t; x_0, t_0 = 0) = 0$ for the first resetting picture (5) and for the last resetting picture (B2) in the presence of a ballistic propagation, we use Eq. (5) with $G(x, t; y, \tau) = \delta(x - y - v(t - \tau))$. The Laplace transform $\tilde{P}(k, s) = \int_{0}^{\infty} dt \exp(-st)\tilde{P}(k, t)$ of the characteristic function $\tilde{P}(k, t)$ then reads
\begin{equation}
P(x, t) = \Psi(t)\delta(x - vt) + \int_{0}^{\infty} dt_1 \Psi(t_1) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \delta(y - vt_1) \times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_1 q(x_1 - y)P(x - x_1, t - t_1),
\end{equation}
from which we obtain the Fourier transform
\begin{equation}
\tilde{P}(k, t) = \Psi(t) \exp(ikvt) + \int_{0}^{\infty} dt_1 \Psi(t_1) \exp(ikv t_1) \tilde{q}(k)\tilde{P}(k, t - t_1).
\end{equation}
Finally, after an additional Laplace transform
\[ \hat{P}(k, s) = \hat{\Psi}(s - ikv) + \hat{\Phi}(s - ikv)\hat{q}(k)\hat{P}(k, s), \]  
we obtain the algebraic relation
\[ \hat{P}(k, s) = \frac{\hat{\Psi}(s - ikv)}{1 - \hat{\Phi}(s - ikv)\hat{q}(k)}. \]  
Equation (12) is similar to the Montroll-Weiss equation [84] for continuous time random walk processes. Rewriting Eq. (12) in terms of a geometric series, \( \hat{P}(k, s) \) becomes
\[ \hat{P}(k, s) = \hat{\Psi}(s - ikv)\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [\hat{\Phi}(s - ikv)\hat{q}(k)]^n. \]  
With the definition (3) we end up with the compact expression
\[ \hat{P}(k, s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{\Phi}(s - ikv)\hat{q}^n(k). \]  
An alternative approach to derive the characteristic function is to use its representation as a jump diffusion process [82]
\[ x(t) = vt + \sum_{j=1}^{n(t)} z_j, \]  
where the stochastic variable \( n(t) \) is the number of resets in the interval \( [0, t] \). The characteristic function can be computed as
\[ \hat{P}(k, t) = \langle \exp[ikx(t)] \rangle = \exp(ikt) \prod_{j=1}^{n(t)} \exp(ikz_j), \]
\[ = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{\Phi}(t) \exp(ikt) \prod_{j=1}^{n} \exp(ikz_j). \]  
As \( n(t) \) in this expression is a stochastic variable, we need to sum up the probabilities \( \hat{\Phi}(t) \) of every possible value of \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). Furthermore, we use the properties of the \( z_j \) to be independent and identically distributed random variables, along with the identity \( \hat{\Phi}_0(t) = \hat{\Psi}(t) \). This leads us directly to Eq. (15).

Define now \( q_n(z) \) as the distribution of the total jump size \( z \) after \( n \) independent and identically distributed jumps with distribution \( q(z) \). The relation between \( q_n(z) \) and \( q(z) \) is then
\[ q_n(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz'' q_{n-1}(z - z'')q(z''), \quad n \geq 1 \]
\[ q_0(z) = \delta(z), \quad n = 0, \]  
and thus
\[ \hat{q}_n(k) = \hat{q}^n(k). \]  
With \( q_n(z) \) from Eq. (19) we take the inverse Fourier transform of the characteristic function \( \hat{P}(k, t) \) [Eq. (15)]. Thus, \( P(x, t) \) takes the form
\[ P(x, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{\Phi}_n(t)q_n(x - vt) \]
\[ = \Psi(t)\delta(x - vt) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{\Phi}_n(t)q_n(x - vt). \]  

**Calculation of moments**

For the mean \( \langle x(t) \rangle \) and the variance \( \text{Var}\{x(t)\} \) of the variable \( x(t) \) we compute the first and second derivatives of \( \hat{P}(k, t) \) [Eq. (15)],
\[ \hat{P}'(k, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{\Phi}_n(t)\exp(ikt)\hat{q}^n(k) \]
\[ = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{\Phi}_n(t)\exp(ikt)\hat{q}^n(k) \]
\[ \times \left[ (ivt + n\hat{q}'(k)) \frac{\hat{q}''(k)\hat{q}(k) - [\hat{q}'(k)]^2}{[\hat{q}(k)]^2} \right]. \]  
Let \( z = -i\hat{q}'(0) \) be the mean of the random independent amplitude \( z \) with the corresponding distribution \( q(z) \). Then with Eq. (21) the mean \( \langle x(t) \rangle \) of \( x(t) \) is
\[ \langle x(t) \rangle = -i\hat{P}'(0, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{\Phi}_n(t)(vt + n\hat{z}). \]  
Now let \( \text{Var}\{z\} = [\hat{q}'(0)]^2 - \hat{q}'(0) \) be the variance of the random independent amplitude \( z \) with distribution \( q(z) \). Thus, the variance \( \text{Var}\{x(t)\} \) of the position \( x(t) \) becomes
\[ \text{Var}\{x(t)\} = [\hat{P}'(0, t)]^2 - \hat{P}''(0, t) \]
\[ = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{\Phi}_n(t)(vt + n\hat{z})^2 + n\text{Var}\{z\} - \langle x(t) \rangle^2. \]  

**B. Ballistic propagation with exponential resetting amplitudes**

For the concrete choice of exponential resetting amplitudes, defined by
\[ q(z) = \Theta(-z)\xi^{-1} \exp\left(\frac{z}{\xi}\right). \]  
the distribution \( q_n(z) \) becomes
\[ q_n(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk \exp(-ikz) \left(\frac{1}{1 + ik\xi}\right)^n \]
\[ = \left(\frac{-z}{\xi}\right)^{n-1} \xi^n(n-1)! \exp\left(\frac{z}{\xi}\right)\Theta(-z). \]
The density \( P(x, t) \) [Eq. (20)] is then realized in the form
\[
P(x, t) = \Psi(t) \delta(x - vt) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Phi_n(t) \frac{(vt - x)^{n-1}}{\xi^n(n-1)!} \times \exp \left( \frac{x - vt}{\xi} \right) \Theta(vt - x). \tag{25}\]
The Fourier transform of \( q(z) \) is \( \hat{q}(k) = 1/(1 + ik\xi) \). With the first and second derivatives of \( \hat{q}(k) \),
\[
\hat{q}'(k) = \frac{-i\xi}{(1 + ik\xi)^2}, \quad \hat{q}''(k) = \frac{-2\xi^2}{(1 + ik\xi)^3}, \tag{26}\]
we get the average and the variance of \( z \),
\[
\langle z \rangle = -i\hat{q}'(0) = -\xi, \quad \text{Var}[z] = [\hat{q}'(0)]^2 - [\hat{q}''(0)] = -\xi^2 + 2\xi^2 = \xi^2. \tag{27}\]
The mean \( \langle x(t) \rangle \) [Eq. (22)] now becomes
\[
\langle x(t) \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Phi_n(t)(vt - n\xi) \tag{28}\]
and the variance \( \text{Var}[x(t)] \) [Eq. (23)] reads
\[
\text{Var}[x(t)] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Phi_n(t)(vt - n\xi)^2 + n\xi^2 \]
\[
- \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Phi_n(t)(vt - n\xi)^2 \right)^2. \tag{29}\]

**Ballistic propagation with exponential resetting amplitude and Poissonian resetting times**

As a specific example we consider the combination of an exponential resetting amplitude PDF (24) of width \( \xi \) and Poissonian resetting times with the distribution
\[
\Psi(t) = r \exp(-rt). \tag{30}\]
This implies the distributions
\[
\tilde{\Psi}(s) = \frac{r}{r + s}, \quad \tilde{\Psi}(s) = \frac{1 - \tilde{\Psi}(s)}{s} = \frac{1}{r + s}, \tag{31}\]
and from this expression we find the Laplace transform
\[
\Phi_n(s) = \tilde{\Psi}(s) \tilde{\Psi}^n(s) = \frac{r^{n+1}}{(r + s)^{n+1}}. \tag{32}\]
After Laplace inversion,
\[
\Phi_n(t) = (\frac{rt}{n!})^n \exp(-rt). \tag{33}\]
This yields the density \( P(x, t) \) [Eq. (25)] for this case,
\[
P(x, t) = \exp(-rt) \delta(x - vt) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(rt)^n(vt - x)^{n-1}}{n!(n-1)!} \times \exp \left( \frac{x - vt - rt\xi}{\xi} \right) \Theta(vt - x). \tag{34}\]
With the representation
\[
I_1(\xi) = \frac{\xi}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\xi^2/4)^n}{n!(n + 1)!} \tag{35}\]
FIG. 3. Height profile PDF \( P(x, t) \) as a function of \( x \) for six different \( t \) for ballistic motion with Poissonian resetting times and exponential resetting amplitudes. The probability of no reset until \( t \) is represented by the vertical line at \( x = vt \); it is shown in log-lin scale for different \( t \) in the inset. Simulations results are shown by points and the analytical results are shown by solid lines. The parameters are \( v = 0.5, r = 0.125, \) and \( \xi = 2 \).

The variance is thus also proportional to \( t \), but it is \( v \) independent.

Figure 3 shows \( P(x, t) \) at different times: The maximum value decreases and the PDF gradually shifts away from negative values. The possibility of no reset up to time \( t \) is encoded in the finite value at \( x = vt \); the inset shows a discontinuity of \( P(x, t) \) at \( x = vt \) and the exponential relation between the probability of no reset and time \( t \).

In Appendix C we derive the Fourier transform of the PDF \( P(x, t) \) from the master equation formulation for the case of ballistic propagation, Poissonian resetting times, and arbitrary independent resetting amplitudes. The result (C4) then corresponds to Eq. (15) with the choice (33) for \( \Phi_n(t) \).
C. Ballistic displacement with constant pace and exponential resetting amplitudes

We now consider another variant of ballistic propagation, namely, of a constant duration between successive resetting events, which we refer to as constant pace. The distribution of the resetting interval lengths is

$$\psi(t) = \delta\left(t - \frac{1}{r}\right). \quad (39)$$

In Laplace space this implies the distributions

$$\tilde{\psi}(s) = \exp\left(-\frac{s}{r}\right), \quad \tilde{\psi}(s) = \frac{1 - \exp(-s/r)}{s}, \quad (40)$$

and consequently

$$\Phi_n(s) = \exp(-ns/r) - \exp[-(n+1)s/r]. \quad (41)$$

After Laplace inversion,

$$\Phi_n(t) = \Theta\left(t - \frac{n}{r}\right) - \Theta\left(t - \frac{n+1}{r}\right). \quad (42)$$

Thus, the density $P(x, t)$ [Eq. (25)] is given by

$$P(x, t) = \left[\Theta(t) - \Theta\left(t - \frac{1}{r}\right)\right]\delta(x - vt)$$

$$+ \Theta(vt - x) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(vt - x)^{n-1}}{\zeta^n (n-1)!} \exp\left(-\frac{x - vt}{\zeta}\right)$$

$$\times \left[\Theta\left(t - \frac{n}{r}\right) - \Theta\left(t - \frac{n+1}{r}\right)\right]. \quad (43)$$

The mean $\langle x(t) \rangle$ of $x(t)$ [Eq. (28)] becomes

$$\langle x(t) \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\Theta\left(t - \frac{n}{r}\right) - \Theta\left(t - \frac{n+1}{r}\right)\right]$$

$$\times (vt - n\zeta)$$

$$= vt - \zeta \lfloor rt \rfloor, \quad (44)$$

where we introduce the floor function $\lfloor x \rfloor = \max\{l \in \mathbb{Z} | l \leq x\}$. The variance $\text{Var}\{x(t)\}$ [Eq. (29)] reads

$$\text{Var}\{x(t)\} = \zeta^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\Theta\left(t - \frac{n}{r}\right) - \Theta\left(t - \frac{n+1}{r}\right)\right]^2 n$$

$$= \zeta^2 \lfloor rt \rfloor. \quad (45)$$

In the long time limit the results (44) and (45) coincide with the corresponding mean and variance in the Poissonian resetting time scenario [Eqs. (37) and (38)].

In Fig. 4 the mean position and variance are shown for two different examples of ballistic propagation and exponential resetting amplitudes, demonstrating the linear growth of the mean height. In this example we see that the constant pace scenario has the same mean as the Poissonian resetting model but half the variance, as can also be seen from a comparison of Eqs. (38) and (45).

Let us compare the difference between the cases of constant pace and Poissonian resetting intervals in more detail. Figure 5 illustrates the PDF $P(x, t)$ for constant pace [Fig. 5(a)] and Poissonian resetting [Fig. 5(b)] at different times. For the chosen values the maximum of the PDF increases with time, and the standard deviation of the PDF increases in both panels. In the case of constant pace resetting, we show the distribution immediately after resetting in Fig. 5. For Poissonian resetting the possibility that no reset occurs up to time $t$ is encoded in the finite value at $x = vt$. Its value is detailed in the inset, showing a discontinuity of $P(x, t)$ at $x = vt$ and the exponential relation between the probability of no reset and time $t$.

Figure 6 shows the behavior of the mean [Fig. 6(a)] and variance [Fig. 6(b)] of $x(t)$. For constant pace resetting the average $\langle x(t) \rangle$ increases linearly in time between successive resetting events; however, the variance of $x(t)$ does not change in this time span. The corresponding PDF moves linearly in time, but does not change its shape during these time spans. The shape of the distribution only changes at the resetting events. As it can be seen in Fig. 6, the variance $\text{Var}\{x(t)\}$ only increases at these times. For Poissonian resetting the mean position depends linearly on $t$ and increases or decreases, depending on the sign of $(v - r\zeta)$. Both possibilities are shown in Fig. 6. Moreover, in the presence of constant pace resetting, we can see that $\langle x(t) \rangle$ increases faster than for Poissonian resetting during the resetting interval lengths. However, for the same choice of parameters the mean for constant pace resetting coincides with the Poissonian resetting at the resetting events. For Poissonian resetting the relation between $\text{Var}\{x(t)\}$ and $t$ is linear and increases faster, as for constant pace resetting.
where the $x(t)$ cannot assume negative values, e.g., when the deposits in a riverbed shrink until they reach a solid bedrock, when the value of a given stock becomes zero, or when a population goes extinct. Random-amplitude resetting processes with strictly positive $x$ in our framework are described by dependent resetting amplitudes, the main feature introduced in this work.

For such dependent resetting amplitudes we use the relation between consecutive resetting points

$$x(t_{n+1}) = [y(t_{n+1})] x(t_n) c_{n+1},$$

where the $c_n \in [0, 1]$ are independent and identically distributed random variables of the running index $n$. For $n = 0$, Eq. (46) yields

$$x(t_1) = [y(t_1)] x_0 c_1.$$  

(47)

With Eq. (47), $\phi_1(x_1, t_1; x_0, t_0)$ becomes

$$\phi_1(x_1, t_1; x_0, t_0) = \int_0^\infty \frac{dy}{y} G(y, t_1; x_0, t_0) f_C\left(\frac{x_1}{y}\right).$$  

(48)

In Eq. (48) we only allow movement for positive heights $0 \leq y < \infty$. Due to our requirement that the height $x(t)$ cannot assume negative values, we impose the additional condition that $f_C(c_n) = 0$ for $c_n < 0$ and $c_n = 1$ such that we only have to consider the range $0 \leq c_1 = x_1/y < 1$, in which $f_C(c_1) \neq 0$. Thus we have the inequality $0 \leq x_1/y < 1$, or

$$0 \leq x_1 < y.$$  

(49)

For dependent resetting amplitudes we get the first resetting picture of the process if we substitute $\phi_1(x_1, t_1; x_0, t_0)$ [Eq. (48)] into Eq. (5) and consider the range of $x_1$ for which $f_C(x_1/y) \neq 0$ [compare Eq. (49)]. Thus, we get

$$P(x, t; x_0, t_0) = \Psi(t - t_0) G(x, t; x_0, t_0)$$

$$+ \int_{t_0}^t dt_1 \Psi(t_1 - t_0) \int_0^\infty \frac{dy}{y} G(y, t_1; x_0, t_0)$$

$$\times \int_{y}^{x_1} dx_1 f_C(x_1/y) P(x, t; x_1, t_1).$$  

(50)

The key difference from Eq. (9) is that the $y$ integration is restricted to $y \in [0, \infty]$ and that the resetting length PDF $q(x - y)$ is replaced by the scaling function $y^{-1} f_C(x_1/y)$, which in turn is part of the product distribution (48). We derive the last resetting picture corresponding to the first resetting picture (50) in Appendix D. We note that when the PDF $G$ is homogenous in space and time, the PDF $P$ is...
still homogeneous in time but the spatial homogeneity is lost (Appendix D).

A. Reduction to classical stochastic resetting

Before proceeding with our analysis we stop to prove that our RASR process with dependent resetting amplitudes is a generalization of classical SR. In fact, we can prove this equivalence for both the first resetting picture and the last resetting picture if we set \( f_c(c_n) = \delta(c_n) \) and use the Poissonian resetting \( \psi(t) = r \exp(-rt) \) along with the initial position \( x_0 = 0 \). With this deterministic resetting mechanism we can verify the results of [38] for the first renewal picture and [25] for the last renewal picture of SR.

In the first resetting picture we have in our framework

\[
P(x, t; 0, 0) = \exp(-rt)G(x, t; 0, 0) + \int_0^tdt_1r\exp(-rt_1)\int_0^\infty dyG(y, t_1; 0, 0)\int_0^\infty dx_1\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{y}\right)P(x, t; x_1, t_1)
\]

\[
= \exp(-rt)G(x, t; 0, 0) + r\int_0^tdt_1\exp(-rt_1)\int_0^\infty dyG(y, t_1; 0, 0)\int_0^\infty dc_1\delta(c_1)P(x, t; c_1y_1, t_1),
\]

in which \( c_1 = x_1/y \). This implies that

\[
P(x, t; 0, 0) = \exp(-rt)G(x, t; 0, 0) + r\int_0^tdt_1\exp(-rt_1)\int_0^\infty dyG(y, t_1; 0, 0)P(x, t; 0, t_1)
\]

and therefore proves the equivalence to [38] with \( x_0 = 0 \). Conversely, in the last resetting picture we have [cf. Eq. (D11)]

\[
P(x, t; 0, 0) = \exp(-rt)G(x, t; 0, 0) + \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_0^td\tau_n\int_0^{\tau_{n+1}} dc_n\int_0^{\tau_n}dy_n\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \int_0^{\tau_{i+1}} dc_{i+1} \delta(c_{i+1})\right)
\]

\[
\times \delta(c_1)\exp(-r\tau_1)G(y_1, \tau_1; 0, 0)\exp[-r(t - \tau_1)]G(x, t; c_ny_n, \tau_n)
\]

\[
= \exp(-rt)G(x, t; 0, 0) + \sum_{n=1}^\infty r^n\int_0^td\tau_n\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \int_0^{\tau_{i+1}} dc_{i+1} \right)\exp[-r(\tau_n - \tau_{n-1})]\exp[-r(\tau_{n-1} - \tau_{n-2})]\cdots
\]

\[
\times \exp[-r(\tau_3 - \tau_2)]\exp[-r(\tau_2 - \tau_1)]\exp[-r(\tau_1 - \tau)]G(x, t; 0, \tau_n)
\]

\[
= \exp(-rt)G(x, t; 0, 0) + r\int_0^td\tau\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{(rt)^n-1}{(n-1)!}\exp(-rt)G(x, t; 0, \tau),
\]

with \( \tau = \tau_n \). This demonstrates that

\[
P(x, t; 0, 0) = \exp(-rt)G(x, t; 0, 0) + r\int_0^td\tau\exp[-r(t - \tau)]G(x, t; 0, \tau)
\]

and completes our proof of equivalence with the formulation in [25] for \( x_0 = 0 \).

B. Ballistic propagation with dependent resetting amplitude

For the spatial Laplace transform \( \tilde{P}(x, t; x_0) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty dx \exp(-ux)P(x, t; x_0) \) of the one-sided density \( P(x, t; x_0) = P(x, t; x_0, 0 = 0) \) in the first resetting picture (50) and in the last resetting picture (D2) for the case of ballistic propagation, we use Eq. (D2) with \( G(x, t; y, \tau) = \delta(x - y - v(t - \tau)) \). Collecting terms, \( P(x, t; x_0) \) reads

\[
P(x, t; x_0) = \Psi(t)\delta(x - x_0 - vt) + \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_0^t d\tau_n\int_0^{\tau_n} dc_n\int_0^{\tau_n} dy_n\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \int_0^{\tau_{i+1}} dc_{i+1} \delta(c_{i+1})\right)
\]

\[
\times \int_0^{\tau_n} dy_n\delta(y_{n+1} - x_n - c_n y_{n-1} - v(\tau_{n+1} - \tau_n))\int_0^{\tau_n} dc_n f_c(c_n)\delta(c_n - x_n y_n - v(\tau_n - y_n)),
\]

\[
\times f_c(c_1)\psi(\tau_1)\delta(y_1 - x_0 - v\tau_1)\Psi(t - \tau_1)\delta(x - c_1 y_1 - v(t - \tau_1)),
\]

(54)
and after the spatial Laplace transform we find

\[
\tilde{P}(u, t; x_0) = \Psi(t) \exp[-u(x_0 + vt)] + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^\infty d\tau_n \int_0^1 dc_n \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \int_0^{\tau_{n+1-i}} d\tau_{n-i} \Psi(t \tau_{n+1-i} - \tau_{n-i}) \right) d\tau_n \tilde{f}_c(c_{n+1-i})
\]

\[
\times f_c(c_1) \psi(t - \tau_n) \exp \left[ -u \left( x_0 \sum_{j=0}^n c_j + v(t - \tau_n) + v \sum_{j=1}^n (\tau_j - \tau_{j-1}) \sum_{k=j}^n c_k \right) \right],
\]

in which \( c_0 = 1 \) and \( \tau_0 = 0 \). Performing a Laplace transform in time (with the corresponding Laplace variable \( s \)), in addition, our general result for the PDF reads

\[
\tilde{\tilde{P}}(u, s; x_0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\psi}(s + uv) \left( \prod_{k=1}^n d\psi(k \tilde{f}_c(c_k)) \tilde{\psi} \left( s + uv \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \right) \right) \exp \left( -u x_0 \sum_{j=0}^n c_j \right).
\]

To compute the mean

\[
\langle x(t) | x_0 \rangle = -\tilde{\tilde{P}}'(0, t; x_0)
\]

and variance

\[
\text{Var}[x(t) | x_0] = \tilde{\tilde{P}}''(0, t; x_0) - \tilde{\tilde{P}}'(0, t; x_0)
\]

we use the first and second derivatives of \( \tilde{\tilde{P}}(u, t; x_0) \) [Eq. (55)] with respect to \( u \) and set \( u = 0 \). It is easier to work with the Laplace transform (56) in time. General formulas for the first and second derivatives of Laplace (56) with respect to the Laplace variable \( u \) are presented in Appendix E. They will be used in Secs. IV C and IV D below.

C. Ballistic displacement with arbitrary resetting times and uniform dependent resetting amplitudes

We now turn to the ballistic displacement process with arbitrary resetting intervals but the specific choice of uniform independent resetting amplitudes. This choice allows us to specify (E2) and (E4) when we include \( f_c(c) = 1 \). Thus, for the first and second moments of \( c \) we get \( \langle c \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \) and \( \langle c^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \), respectively. The first derivative \( \tilde{\tilde{P}}'(u, t; x_0) \) becomes

\[
\tilde{\tilde{P}}'(0, s; x_0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ v \left[ \tilde{\psi}^n(s) \tilde{\psi}'(s) + \tilde{\psi}^{n-1}(s) \tilde{\psi}^n(s) \tilde{\psi}'(s) \right] - x_0 \left( \frac{\tilde{\psi}(s)}{2} \right)^n \tilde{\psi}(s) \right\}.
\]

The second derivative \( \tilde{\tilde{P}}''(u, t; x_0) \) reads

\[
\tilde{\tilde{P}}''(0, s; x_0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} v^2 \left[ \tilde{\psi}^n(s) \tilde{\psi}''(s) + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\psi}^{n-1}(s) \tilde{\psi}^n(s) \tilde{\psi}'(s) + 2 \tilde{\psi}^{n-1}(s) \tilde{\psi}^n(s) \tilde{\psi}'(s) \right] - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2uvx_0 \left( \frac{\tilde{\psi}(s)}{2} \right)^n \tilde{\psi}'(s) + 2 \tilde{\psi}^{n-1}(s) \tilde{\psi}'(s) \tilde{\psi}(s) \right\}.
\]

For constant pace resetting times, we have a periodic reset with \( \psi(t) = \delta(t - 1/r) \) corresponding to the expressions (40). Thus, the resetting amplitude is the only stochastic variable in this process. After some algebra and Laplace inversion we find

\[
\tilde{\tilde{P}}'(0, t; x_0) = -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Phi_n(t) \left[ v \left( t - \frac{n}{r} \right) + \frac{v}{r} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2n} \right) + \frac{x_0}{2n} \right],
\]

in which \( \Phi_n(t) = \Theta(t - n/r) - \Theta(t - (n + 1)/r) \). The mean \( \langle x(t) | x_0 \rangle \) [Eq. (57)] is then realized in the form

\[
\langle x(t) | x_0 \rangle = x_0 + vt + \sum_{n=1}^{\lfloor rt \rfloor} \left[ \frac{1}{2n} \left( \frac{v}{r} - x_0 \right) - \frac{v}{r} \right],
\]

with the asymptotic properties

\[
\limsup_{t \to \infty} \langle x(t) | x_0 \rangle = \frac{2v}{r}, \quad \liminf_{t \to \infty} \langle x(t) | x_0 \rangle = \frac{v}{r}.
\]

Thus, in the long time limit the oscillating mean \( \langle x(t) | x_0 \rangle \) is restricted by the two bounds (63).
Similarly, we compute the second derivative of the PDF,
\[ \tilde{P}''(0, t; x_0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Phi_n(t) \left[ v^2 \left( t - \frac{n}{r} \right)^2 + 2 \frac{v^2}{r} \left( t - \frac{n}{r} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2r} \right) + \frac{v^2}{2r^2} \left( 3 + \frac{5}{3} - \frac{8}{2^2} \right) - \frac{2u_0}{2^2} \left( t - \frac{n}{r} \right) \right] \]
\[ + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Phi_n(t) \left[ \frac{4u_0 x_0}{r} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2^2} - \frac{1}{3^2} \right) + x_0^2 \right], \tag{64} \]
in which \( \Phi_n(t) = \Theta(t - n/r) - \Theta(t - (n + 1)/r) \). The variance (58) finally reads
\[ \text{Var}[x(t)|x_0] = \sum_{n=1}^{[r]} \left[ x_0^2 \left( \frac{3}{4^2} - \frac{2}{3^2} \right) + 2 \frac{x_0 v}{r} \left( \frac{4}{3^2} - \frac{3}{4^2} - \frac{1}{2^2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{v}{r} \right)^2 \left( \frac{6}{4^2} + \frac{4}{2^2} - \frac{10}{3^2} \right) \right] \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{v}{r} \right)^2. \tag{65} \]

### D. Ballistic propagation and Poissonian resetting times

We now consider Poissonian resetting intervals with rate \( r, \psi(t) = r \exp(-rt) \). Such exponential distributions are in fact used in several SR studies, including [24,39,40,51]. For the resetting amplitudes we first derive a general solution and then consider specific examples.

We start from Eqs. (E2) and (E4) and use the resetting time distributions with their Laplace transforms \( \tilde{\psi}(s) = r/(r + s) \) and \( \tilde{\Psi}(s) = 1/(r + s) \). Evaluating the geometric series, we obtain the derivatives of the PDF. After Laplace inversion, these read
\[ \tilde{P}''(0, t; x_0) = \frac{v}{r(1 - (c^2))} \{ \exp[-rt(1 - \langle c \rangle)] - 1 \} - x_0 \exp[-rt(1 - \langle c \rangle)], \tag{66} \]
\[ \tilde{P}''(0, t; x_0) = \frac{2v^2}{r^2(1 - (c^2))} \left( \frac{\exp[-rt(1 - \langle c^2 \rangle)]}{1 - \langle c^2 \rangle} - \frac{\exp[-rt(1 - \langle c \rangle)]}{1 - \langle c \rangle} \right) \]
\[ + \frac{v^2}{r^2(1 - \langle c \rangle)(1 - \langle c^2 \rangle)} x_0 \exp[-rt(1 - \langle c^2 \rangle)] \]
\[ + \frac{2x_0 v}{r(1 - \langle c \rangle)(1 - \langle c^2 \rangle)} \{ \exp[-rt(1 - \langle c \rangle)] - \exp[-rt(1 - \langle c^2 \rangle)] \}. \tag{67} \]

We then derive the mean and variance
\[ \langle x(t)|x_0 \rangle = \frac{v}{r(1 - \langle c \rangle)} \{ 1 - \exp[-rt(1 - \langle c \rangle)] \} + x_0 \exp[-rt(1 - \langle c \rangle)], \tag{68} \]
\[ \text{Var}[x(t)|x_0] = \frac{2v^2 \exp(-rt)}{r^2(c^2 - \langle c \rangle^2)} \left( \frac{\exp(rt(c^2))}{1 - \langle c \rangle} - \frac{\exp(rt(\langle c \rangle))}{1 - \langle c \rangle} \right) \]
\[ + \frac{2v^2}{r^2(1 - \langle c \rangle)(1 - \langle c^2 \rangle)} x_0 \exp[-rt(1 - \langle c \rangle)] \]
\[ + \frac{2x_0 v \exp(-rt)}{r(1 - \langle c \rangle)(1 - \langle c^2 \rangle)} \{ \exp(rt(c)) - \exp(rt(\langle c \rangle)) \} + \exp[-rt(1 - 2\langle c \rangle) - \exp(rt(\langle c \rangle))] \]
\[ + \frac{x_0^2 \exp[-rt(1 - \langle c \rangle^2)]}{1 - \langle c \rangle} - \exp[-2rt(1 - \langle c \rangle 0)], \tag{69} \]
with the initial condition \( x(0) = x_0 \).

For uniformly distributed resetting amplitudes with \( \langle c \rangle = 1/2 \) and \( \langle c^2 \rangle = 1/3 \) we then find the specific expressions
\[ \langle x(t)|x_0 \rangle = x_0 \exp \left( - \frac{rt}{2} \right) + 2 \frac{v}{r} \left[ 1 - \exp \left( - \frac{rt}{2} \right) \right] \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} 2 \frac{v}{r}, \tag{70} \]
and the variance
\[ \text{Var}[x(t)|x_0] = x_0^2 \left[ \exp \left( - \frac{2rt}{3} \right) - \exp(-rt) \right] + \frac{uv_0}{r} \left[ 4 \exp(-rt) + 8 \exp \left( - \frac{rt}{2} \right) - 12 \exp \left( - \frac{2rt}{3} \right) \right] \]
\[ + \left( \frac{v}{r} \right)^2 \left[ 2 - 16 \exp \left( - \frac{rt}{2} \right) + 18 \exp \left( - \frac{2rt}{3} \right) - 4 \exp(-rt) \right] \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} 2 \left( \frac{v}{r} \right)^2. \tag{71} \]

Moreover, for the case of a deterministic reset to the initial height, \( \langle c \rangle = 0 \) and \( \langle c^2 \rangle = 0 \), we arrive at
\[ \langle x(t)|x_0 \rangle = \frac{v}{r} \left[ 1 - \exp(-rt) \right], \tag{72} \]
\[ \text{Var}[x(t)|x_0] = \frac{v^2}{r^2} - \frac{2v^2 \exp(-rt)}{r} - \frac{v^2 \exp(-2rt)}{r^2}. \tag{73} \]
FIG. 7. (a) Mean and (b) variance of the height profile for dependent stochastic resetting with Poissonian \([r = 0.125, \text{Eqs. (72)}\) and (73)] and constant pace [Eqs. (62) and (65)] resetting times for a uniform resetting amplitude and two different initial heights \(x_0\), in which we compare the normalized mean and variance in Eq. (75) with \(x(t)\) instead of a diffusive displacement. And confirms the results of Ref. [24] for ballistic displacement \(\rho(x, t)\) instead of a diffusive displacement.

\[
\frac{\partial P(x, t; x_0)}{\partial t} = -v \frac{\partial P(x, t; x_0)}{\partial x} - r P(x, t; x_0)
\]

with \(P(x, 0; x_0) = \delta(x - x_0)\). For the Laplace transform \(\mathcal{P}(u, t; x_0)\) of \(P(x, t; x_0)\) with respect to \(x\) this yields

\[
\frac{\partial \mathcal{P}(u, t; x_0)}{\partial t} = -uv \mathcal{P}(u, t; x_0) - r \mathcal{P}(u, t; x_0)
\]

\[
\mathcal{P} (uc, t; x_0) = \mathcal{P} (uc, t; x_0)f_C(c),
\]

where \(\mathcal{P} (uc, t; x_0) = \exp(-ux_0)\).

1. Comparison with classical stochastic resetting

If we assume a standard SR to the initial condition \(x_0\) we have \(f_C(c) = \delta(c)\). Moreover, the relation of the corresponding random variable and thus the partial differential is slightly different. Explicitly, \(\mathcal{P}(x(t + \Delta t)|x_0) = c(x(t)|x_0) + \Delta t\) with probability \(1 - r \Delta t\),

\[
\frac{\partial P(x, t; x_0)}{\partial t} = -v \frac{\partial P(x, t; x_0)}{\partial x} - r P(x, t; x_0)
\]

\[
+ r \int_0^\infty dy \frac{P(y, t; x_0) f_C(x)}{y},
\]

with \(P(x, 0; x_0) = \delta(x - x_0)\). For the Laplace transform \(\mathcal{P}(u, t; x_0)\) of \(P(x, t; x_0)\) with respect to \(x\) this yields

\[
\frac{\partial \mathcal{P}(u, t; x_0)}{\partial t} = -uv \mathcal{P}(u, t; x_0) - r \mathcal{P}(u, t; x_0)
\]

\[
\mathcal{P} (uc, t; x_0) = \mathcal{P} (uc, t; x_0)f_C(c),
\]

where \(\mathcal{P} (uc, t; x_0) = \exp(-ux_0)\).

2. Stationary distribution for ballistic displacement, uniform dependent resetting amplitude, and Poissonian resetting

We get the stationary solution of Eq. (77) for \(f_C(c) = 1\) with \(P^* (x) = \lim_{t \to \infty} P(x, t; x_0)\) for \(\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\partial P(x, t; x_0)}{\partial t} = 0\). Thus, for the spatial Laplace transform \(P^* (u)\) becomes

\[
0 = -uv P^* (u) - r P^* (u) + r \int_0^1 \tilde{P}^* (uc) dc \Rightarrow u(uv + r) P^* (u)
\]

\[
= r \int_0^u P^* (c') dc',
\]

with \(\rho(x, 0) = \phi_0(x)\). Equation (78) is homogeneous in space and confirms the results of Ref. [24] for ballistic displacement instead of a diffusive displacement.
with \( c' = uc \). If we now differentiate Eq. (80) with respect to \( u \) and use the normalization condition \( \tilde{P}'(0) = 1 \), we get

\[
(2uv + r)\tilde{P}'(u) + u(\tilde{P}(u) + r)\tilde{P}'(u) = r\tilde{P}'(u),
\]

(81)

implying \( \tilde{P}'(u) = \frac{2v}{uv+r} \tilde{P}'(u) \) and \( \tilde{P}'(0) = 1 \). The solution is given by

\[
\tilde{P}^*(u) = \frac{r^2}{(uv+r)^2},
\]

(82)

Equation (82) solves Eq. (80), which proves our claim. Thus, the stationary solution \( \tilde{P}^*(u) \) is the inverse Laplace transform of \( \tilde{P}(u) \) [Eq. (82)],

\[
\tilde{P}^*(u) = \lim_{t \to \infty} P(x, t; x_0) = \left( \frac{r}{v} \right)^2 x \exp \left( -\frac{rx}{v} \right).
\]

(83)

3. Proof of equality between the partial differential equation (77) and integral representation (56)

If we let \( \tilde{P}(u, s) \) denote the Laplace transform of \( \tilde{P}(u, t) \), we can obtain the form for Poissonian resetting in double Laplace space,

\[
\tilde{P}(u, s; x_0) = \frac{\exp(-ux_0)}{r + s + uv} \left( 1 + \frac{r}{r + s + uv} \int_0^1 dc \tilde{P}(uc, s; x_0)f_C(c) \right).
\]

(84)

with the iterative approximations

\[
\exp(-ux_0) \quad \text{(zeroth approximation)},
\]

\[
\exp(-ux_0) + \frac{r}{r + s + uv} \int_0^1 dc \exp(-ux_0c) \quad \text{(first approximation)},
\]

\[
\exp(-ux_0) + \frac{r}{r + s + uv} \int_0^1 dc \exp(-ux_0c_1) \quad \text{(second approximation)},
\]

\[
\exp(-ux_0) + \frac{1}{r + s + uv} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \left( \prod_{j=1}^n \int_0^1 dc_j \frac{rf_C(c_j)}{r + s + uv} \right) \exp \left( -x_0 \prod_{j=1}^n c_j \right) \quad \text{(nth approximation)},
\]

such that we find

\[
\tilde{P}(u, s; x_0) = \frac{\exp(-ux_0)}{r + s + uv} + \frac{1}{r + s + uv} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \left( \prod_{j=1}^n \int_0^1 dc_j \frac{rf_C(c_j)}{r + s + uv} \right) \exp \left( -x_0 \prod_{j=1}^n c_j \right),
\]

(85)

which is equal to Eq. (56) for Poissonian resetting, and thus proves our claim.

F. Graphical illustration for dependent resetting

We finally illustrate the difference between ballistic propagation with Poissonian and constant pace resetting for uniform dependent resetting amplitude. To this end we compare the corresponding PDFs at different times and show the behavior of the mean and variance of \( x(t)|x_0 \). Figure 8 shows the position PDF for ballistic displacement, the uniformly distributed resetting amplitude, and two different distributions of resetting interval lengths. For each process the impact of different initial values \( x_0 \) is shown. It is obvious that the influence of initial values eventually disappears, as can be seen in Figs. 8(a) and 8(b). In Figs. 8(a) and 8(c) constant pace resetting is used. When the impact of the initial value disappears [Fig. 8(c)] the PDF of \( x \) has a uniform part for small values of \( x \). However, the uniform character disappears from a certain value of \( x \) and decreases in the tail. The distribution does not change its shape; however, the PDF of \( x \) fulfills a periodic movement. This motion of the distribution \( P(x, t; x_0) \) is divided in a linear shift in time and a shift in the opposite direction as a point process in time. In Figs. 8(b) and 8(d) Poissonian resetting is used. The height of the probability of no resets is independent of the value of \( x_0 \). This probability is mapped at \( x = vt + x_0 \) and decreasing in time. For longer \( t \) [Fig. 8(d)] it can be seen that the process is stationary.

In Fig. 9 we can see the temporal behavior of the mean and variance of \( x(t)|x_0 \). We show the results for the ballistic displacement process, which is interrupted by uniform dependent resetting events for two different distributions of resetting interval lengths. All analytical results are numerically verified (see Fig. 9). The vanishing impact of different initial values \( x_0 \) for the average and variance of \( x(t)|x_0 \) with \( t \) can be seen in both panels. The average \( \langle x(t)|x_0 \rangle \) [Fig. 9(a)] increases linearly with \( t \) during the constant resetting interval lengths and decreases at the resetting points. After some time the average of \( x(t)|x_0 \) is confined to a certain range and has a periodic switch between a linear increase and decrease as a point process in time. The corresponding \( \text{Var}[x(t)|x_0] \) [Fig. 9(b)] stays the same during the resetting interval lengths and increases discontinuously at the resetting points, a jump in the figure. For longer \( t \) the variance \( \text{Var}[x(t)|x_0] \) converges to a finite limit. In Fig. 9(b) the convergence of the average and
FIG. 8. PDF $P(x, t; x_0)$ of the height profile for different initial heights and ballistic motion with uniform resetting: (a) and (c) constant pace resetting and (b) and (d) Poissonian resetting, compared to the classical resetting scenario with enforced resets to the origin, for (a) and (b) $t = 1/r$ and (c) and (d) $t = 10/r$. Numerical results are shown by points and analytical results by solid lines. The parameters are $v = 0.5$ and $r = 0.125$.

V. CONCLUSION

We introduced a generalized resetting concept with random resetting amplitudes in two different scenarios: independent resetting, in which the height profile may become negative, depending on the specific resetting amplitude PDF and the propagating process, and dependent resetting, in which the positivity of the height profile is guaranteed by the definition of the resetting amplitude PDF. We derived an explicit analytical formulation of the process and analyzed specifically ballistic propagation in the presence of Poissonian resetting times and different resetting amplitude PDFs. We also demonstrated that the classical resetting theory with mandatory
In fact, our model is similar (albeit more flexible) to that proposed in [85], where constant rates of accumulation were considered the null hypothesis and the effect of random erosion periods on bed hiatus length distributions were explored. We also note similar strategies developed for ecohydrology applications [86] and the general development of a class of jump processes [83]. In a different context we could think of population dynamics interrupted by epidemics, pathogens (e.g., embodied by bacterial biofilms) decimated by antibiotic treatment (here both periodic and random application protocols are being employed in clinical studies), or crises-interrupted financial markets. All these processes correspond to the intermittent picture of a parent process (the propagation) with superimposed resetting statistic.

The qualitative difference between independent and dependent resetting is that the latter case becomes stationary for ballistic propagation and Poissonian resetting times, whereas the former remains nonstationary. The fact that our basic model can be recast in these two variants underlines the flexibility embedded in this simple extension of classical resetting (SR). Another appeal is the relatively straightforward, fully analytical description, with the caveat that not all resulting expressions can be expressed fully explicitly. Having said this, we believe that our results represent an attractive extension of the resetting process. Apart from the above physical scenarios, the described flexibility of our extension of the resetting dynamics will be of interest in the mathematical theory of random search processes.
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APPENDIX A: MATHEMATICAL IDENTITY BETWEEN THE FIRST AND LAST RESETTING PICTURES

In this Appendix we prove the formal mathematical identity that will be used in Appendix B below to demonstrate the equivalence of the first and the last resetting pictures,

\[
\prod_{j=1}^{n} \left( \int_{\mathcal{A}_j} dt_j \int_{\mathcal{A}_y} dy_j \int_{\mathcal{A}_z} dz_j \eta_1(t_j, y_j, z_j, t_{j-1}, y_{j-1}, z_{j-1}) \right) \eta_2(x, t, t_n, y_n, z_n)
\]

\[
= \int_{0}^{t_{0}} \int_{\mathcal{A}_y} dy_n \int_{\mathcal{A}_z} dz_n \left( \prod_{j=1}^{n} \int_{\mathcal{T}_{j-1}}^{\mathcal{T}_j} dt_{n-j+1} \int_{\mathcal{A}_y} dy_{n-j} \int_{\mathcal{A}_z} dz_{n-j} \eta_1(t_{n+1-j}, t_0, t_{n-j}, y_{n+1-j}, y_n, z_{n+1-j}, z_n) \right)
\]

\[
\times \eta_1(t_{1}, t_0, y_1, y_0, z_1, z_0) \eta_2(x, t, t_n, y_n, z_n)
\]

\[
\equiv \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left( \int_{\mathcal{T}_{j-1}}^{\mathcal{T}_j} dt_j \int_{\mathcal{A}_y} dy_j \int_{\mathcal{A}_z} dz_j \eta_1(t_j, t_{j-1}, t_0, y_j, y_{j-1}, z_j, z_{j-1}) \right) \eta_2(x, t, t_n + t_0, y_n, z_n)
\]
This proves our claim.

**APPENDIX B: DERIVATION OF THE LAST RESETTING PICTURE FOR INDEPENDENT resetting AMPILITUDES**

In this Appendix we aim to show the equivalence of the description in the first resetting picture,

$$P(x; t; x_0, t_0) = \Psi(t - t_0)G(x; t; x_0, t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t} dt_1 \psi(t_1 - t_0) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy G(y; t_1; x_0, t_0) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_1 q(x_1 - y)P(x; t_1; x_1)$$

\[(B1)\]
and the last resetting picture that includes all resetting steps,
\[
P(x, t; x_0, t_0) = \Psi(t - t_0)G(x, t; x_0, t_0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{t-t_0} dt \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \times \left( \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \int_{0}^{t-t_{j-1}} dt_{j} \psi(t_{j} - t_{j-1}) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy_{j} G(y_{j}, t_{j}; x_{j-1}, t_{j-1}) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_{j} q(x_{j} - y_{j}) \right) \psi(t - t_n)G(x, t; x_n, t_n).
\]

To this end we write Eq. (B2) as
\[
P(x, t; x', t') = \Psi(t - t')G(x, t; x', t') + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{t'_{j-1}} dt_{j} \psi(t_{j} - t_{j-1}) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy_{j} G(y_{j}, t_{j}; x_{j-1}, t_{j-1}) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_{j} q(x_{j} - y_{j}) \right) \psi(t - t_n)G(x, t; x_n, t_n).
\]

As \(P(x, t; x_1, t_1)\) in Eq. (B1) has the initial value \(x_1\) at \(t_1\), these two variables have the lowest index 1 instead of 0, and thus instead of Eq. (B4) one gets
\[
P(x, t; x_1, t_1) = \Psi(t - t_1)G(x, t; x_1, t_1) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left( \prod_{j=2}^{n} \int_{0}^{t'_{j-1}} dt_{j} \psi(t_{j} - t_{j-1}) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy_{j} G(y_{j}, t_{j}; x_{j-1}, t_{j-1}) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_{j} q(x_{j} - y_{j}) \right) \psi(t - t_n)G(x, t; x_n, t_n).
\]

Substituting (B5) into the right-hand side (RHS) of Eq. (B1) we get
\[
\text{RHS} = \Psi(t - t_0)G(x, t; x_0, t_0) + \int_{0}^{t_0} dt_1 \psi(t_1 - t_0) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy G(y; t_1; x_0, t_0) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_1 q(x_1 - y) \psi(t - t_1)G(x, t; x_1, t_1)
\]
\[
+ \int_{0}^{t_0} dt_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_1 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left( \prod_{j=2}^{n} \int_{0}^{t'_{j-1}} dt_{j} \psi(t_{j} - t_{j-1}) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy_{j} G(y_{j}, t_{j}; x_{j-1}, t_{j-1}) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_{j} q(x_{j} - y_{j}) \right)
\]
\[
\times \psi(t_1 - t_0)G(y; t_1; x_0, t_0) q(x_1 - y) \psi(t - t_1)G(x, t; x_1, t_1)
\]
\[
= \Psi(t - t_0)G(x, t; x_0, t_0) + \int_{0}^{t_0} dt_1 \psi(t_1 - t_0) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy G(y_1; t_1; x_0, t_0) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_1 q(x_1 - y_1) \psi(t - t_1)G(x, t; x_1, t_1)
\]
\[
+ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{t'_{j-1}} dt_{j} \psi(t_{j} - t_{j-1}) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy_{j} G(y_{j}, t_{j}; x_{j-1}, t_{j-1}) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_{j} q(x_{j} - y_{j}) \right) \psi(t - t_n)G(x, t; x_n, t_n).
\]

with \(y_1 = y\). Then
\[
\text{RHS} = \Psi(t - t_0)G(x, t; x_0, t_0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{t'_{j-1}} dt_{j} \psi(t_{j} - t_{j-1}) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy_{j} G(y_{j}, t_{j}; x_{j-1}, t_{j-1}) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_{j} q(x_{j} - y_{j}) \right) \psi(t - t_n)G(x, t; x_n, t_n),
\]

and thus RHS = LHS, which proves our claim. Thus, Eq. (B3) solves the first resetting picture of Eq. (B1) and Eq. (B3) describes the RASR with independent resetting amplitudes. If we can show that Eq. (B3) and the last resetting picture of Eq. (B2) are equal,
we demonstrate that both mathematical representations describe the same process. To this end, consider

\[
\text{LHS} = P(x, t; x_0, t_0) = \Psi(t - t_0)G(x, t; x_0, t_0) \\
+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \int_{t_0}^{t} dt_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy_n \Psi(t_n - t_0)G(x_n, t - t_0; x_0, t_0) \\
\times q(x_n - y_n) \Psi(t_n - t_0)G(x_n, t - t_0; x_0, t_0) \right) \\
= \Psi(t - t_0)G(x, t; x_0, t_0) \\
+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \int_{t_0}^{t} dt_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy_n \Psi(t_n - t_0)G(x_n, t - t_0; x_0, t_0) \\
\times q(x_n - y_n) \Psi(t_n - t_0)G(x_n, t - t_0; x_0, t_0) \right)
\]

(B8)

with \( \tau_j = t_j - t_0 \) for \( 1 \leq j \leq n \).

If we now use Eq. (A1) with the substitution (B9), we obtain

\[
\eta_1(t_j, t_{j-1}, x_{j-1}, x_j, z_j, z_{j-1}) = \Psi(t_j - t_{j-1})G(x_j, t_j; x_{j-1}, t_{j-1})q(z_j - y_j), \\
\eta_2(x, t, x_n, t_n, z_n) = \Psi(t - t_0)G(x; t, x_n, t_n), \\
z_j = x_j, \quad \tau_j = t_j + t_0,
\]

\[
A_1, A_3 = -\infty, \quad A_2, A_4 = \infty
\]

(B9)

for \( 1 \leq j \leq n \). We then find

\[
\text{LHS} = \Psi(t - t_0)G(x, t; x_0, t_0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{t_0}^{t} dt_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy_n \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \int_{t_0}^{t} dt_{n-i} \Psi(t_{n-i} - t_0)G(x_{n-i}, t_{n-i}; x_0, t_0) \\
\times q(x_{n-i} - y_{n-i}) \Psi(t_{n-i} - t_0)G(x_{n-i}, t_{n-i}; x_0, t_0) \right)
\]

(B10)

which represents exactly the last resetting picture (B2), proving our claim.

If we assume a free propagator, which is homogeneous in space and time, the stochastic process with resetting itself will be homogeneous in space and time, \( G(x, t; x_0, t_0) = G(x - x_0, t - t_0; 0, 0) \Rightarrow P(x, t; x_0, t_0) = P(x - x_0, t - t_0; 0, 0) \). By assuming \( G(x, t; x_0, t_0) = G(x - x_0, t - t_0; 0, 0) \), the density \( P(x, t; x_0, t_0) \) [Eq. (B10)] then becomes

\[
P(x, t; x_0, t_0) = G(x - x_0, t - t_0; 0, 0) \\
+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{t_0}^{t} dt_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy_n \\
\times \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \int_{t_0}^{t} dt_{n-i} \Psi(t_{n-i} - t_0)G(x_{n-i}, t_{n-i}; x_0, t_0) \\
\times q(x_{n-i} - y_{n-i}) \Psi(t_{n-i} - t_0)G(x_{n-i}, t_{n-i}; x_0, t_0) \right)
\]

(B11)

in which \( x'_j = x_j - x_0 \) and \( y'_j = y_j - x_0 \) for \( 1 \leq j \leq n \). On the right-hand side of Eq. (B11) \( x \) and \( x_0 \) as well as \( t \) and \( t_0 \) only occur as differences \( x - x_0 \) and \( t - t_0 \) and not as single terms. Thus, \( G(x, t; x_0, t_0) = G(x - x_0, t - t_0; 0, 0) \Rightarrow P(x, t; x_0, t_0) = P(x - x_0, t - t_0; 0, 0) \), which proves our claim.
**APPENDIX C: DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION FOR $P(x, t)$ WITH POISSONIAN RESETTING, BALLISTIC DISPLACEMENT PROCESS, AND ARBITRARY INDEPENDENT RESETTING AMPLITUDES**

To derive a differential equation for the PDF $P(x, t; x_0, t_0)$ we use the fact that the process is homogeneous in space and time. We use the shorthand form $P(x, t)$ for the choice $x(t_0) = 0$. As $x$ propagation for ballistic motion reads

$$x(t + \Delta t) = \begin{cases} x(t) + z & \text{with probability } r \Delta t \\ x(t) + v \Delta t & \text{with probability } 1 - r \Delta t. \end{cases} \quad (C1)$$

This means that

$$\frac{\partial P(x, t)}{\partial t} = -v \frac{\partial P(x, t)}{\partial x} - r \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz P(x - z, t)q(z). \quad (C2)$$

For the characteristic function we therefore find

$$\frac{\partial \hat{P}(k, t)}{\partial t} = i k v \hat{P}(k, t) - r \hat{P}(k, t) + r \hat{P}(k, t) \hat{q}(k), \quad (C3)$$

The solution of Eq. (C3) is

$$\hat{P}(k, t) = \exp(ikvt) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(rt)^n}{n!} \exp(-rt)[\hat{q}(k)]^n, \quad (C4)$$

which verifies our result (15) for Poissonian resetting.

**APPENDIX D: DERIVATION OF THE LAST RESETTING PICTURE FOR DEPENDENT RESETTING AMPLITUDES**

We now show the equivalence of the first resetting picture

$$P(x, t; x_0, t_0) = \Psi(t - t_0)G(x, t; x_0, t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t} dt_{1} \Psi(t_{1} - t_0) \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dy}{y} G(y, t_{1}; x_0, t_0) \int_{0}^{y} dx_{1} f_{C} \left( \frac{x_{1}}{y} \right) P(x_{1}, t_{1}, t_{1})$$

$$= \Psi(t - t_0)G(x, t; x_0, t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t} dt_{1} \Psi(t_{1} - t_0) \int_{0}^{\infty} dy G(y, t_{1}; x_0, t_0) \int_{0}^{1} dc_{1} f_{C}(c_{1}) P(x, t; c_{1} y_{1}, t_{1}). \quad (D1)$$

with $c_{1} = x_{1}/y$, and the last resetting picture

$$P(x, t; x', t') = \Psi(t - t')G(x, t; x', t')$$

$$+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{n} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_{j}} dt_{j} \Psi(t_{j} - t_{j-1}) \int_{0}^{\infty} dy_{j} G(y_{j}, t_{j}, t_{j-1}, t_{j-1}) \int_{0}^{1} dc_{j} f_{C}(c_{j}) \Psi(t_{j} - t_{j})G(x, t; c_{j} y_{j}, t_{j}) \right)$$

with $t_{0} = t'$, $c_{0} = 1$, and $y_{0} = x'$. The LHS of Eq. (D1) after substitution reads

$$\text{LHS} = P(x, t; x_0, t_0) = \Psi(t - t_0)G(x, t; x_0, t_0)$$

$$+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{n} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_{j}} dt_{j} \Psi(t_{j} - t_{j-1}) \int_{0}^{\infty} dy_{j} G(y_{j}, t_{j}, t_{j-1}, t_{j-1}) \int_{0}^{1} dc_{j} f_{C}(c_{j}) \Psi(t_{j} - t_{j})G(x, t; c_{j} y_{j}, t_{j}) \right) \Psi(t_{n} - t_{n})G(x, t; c_{n} y_{n}, t_{n}). \quad (D3)$$
As \( P(x, t; c_1 y_1, t_1) \) in Eq. (D1) has the initial value \( c_1 y_1 \) at \( t_1 \), these three variables have 1 as the lowest index and we write

\[
P(x, t; x_1, t_1) = \Psi(t - t_1)G(x, t; c_1 y_1, t_1)
\]

\[
+ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left( \prod_{j=2}^{n} \int_{j-1}^{t} dt_j \psi(t_j - t_{j-1}) \int_0^{\infty} dy_j G(y_j, t_j; c_{j-1} y_{j-1}, t_{j-1}) \int_0^{1} dc_j fc(c_j) \right) \Psi(t - t_n)G(x, t; c_n y_n, t_n).
\]

(D5)

Substituting Eq. (D5) into the RHS of Eq. (D1) we get

\[
\text{RHS} = \Psi(t - t_0)G(x, t; x_0, t_0)
\]

\[
+ \int_{t_0}^{t} dt_1 \psi(t_1 - t_0) \int_0^{\infty} dy_1 G(y_1, t_1; x_0, t_0) \int_0^{1} dc_1 fc(c_1) \Psi(t - t_1)G(x, t; c_1 y_1, t_1)
\]

\[
+ \int_{t_0}^{t} dt_1 \int_0^{\infty} dy_1 \int_1^{1} dc_1 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left( \prod_{j=2}^{n} \int_{j-1}^{t} dt_j \psi(t_j - t_{j-1}) \int_0^{\infty} dy_j G(y_j, t_j; c_{j-1} y_{j-1}, t_{j-1}) \int_0^{1} dc_j fc(c_j) \right)
\]

\[
\times \psi(t_1 - t_0)G(y_1, t_1; x_0, t_0) fc(c_1) \Psi(t - t_n)G(x, t; c_n y_n, t_n)
\]

\[
= \Psi(t - t_0)G(x, t; x_0, t_0)
\]

\[
+ \int_{t_0}^{t} dt_1 \psi(t_1 - t_0) \int_0^{\infty} dy_1 G(y_1, t_1; x_0, t_0) \int_0^{1} dc_1 fc(c_1) \Psi(t - t_1)G(x, t; c_1 y_1, t_1)
\]

\[
+ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{n} \int_{j-1}^{t} dt_j \psi(t_j - t_{j-1}) \int_0^{\infty} dy_j G(y_j, t_j; c_{j-1} y_{j-1}, t_{j-1}) \int_0^{1} dc_j fc(c_j) \right) \Psi(t - t_n)G(x, t; c_n y_n, t_n),
\]

(D6)

with \( y_1 = y \). Then

\[
\text{RHS} = \Psi(t - t_0)G(x, t; x_0, t_0)
\]

\[
+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{n} \int_{j-1}^{t} dt_j \psi(t_j - t_{j-1}) \int_0^{\infty} dy_j G(y_j, t_j; c_{j-1} y_{j-1}, t_{j-1}) \int_0^{1} dc_j fc(c_j) \right) \Psi(t - t_n)G(x, t; c_n y_n, t_n),
\]

(D7)

and thus we have the identity \( \text{RHS} = \text{LHS} \). Consequently, Eq. (D3) solves the first resetting picture of Eq. (D1). This implies that Eq. (D3) describes the RASR with a dependent resetting amplitude. If we show that Eq. (D3) and the last resetting picture of Eq. (D2) are equal, this means that both mathematical representations are equivalent. To proceed,

\[
\text{LHS} = P(x, t; x_0, t_0 = \Psi(t - t_0)G(x, t; x_0, t_0)
\]

\[
+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{n} \int_{j-1}^{t} dt_j \psi(t_j - t_{j-1}) \int_0^{\infty} dy_j G(y_j, t_j; c_{j-1} y_{j-1}, t_{j-1}) \int_0^{1} dc_j fc(c_j) \right) \Psi(t - t_n)G(x, t; c_n y_n, t_n)
\]

\[
= \Psi(t - t_0)G(x, t; x_0, t_0)
\]

\[
+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{n} \int_{j-1}^{t} dt_j \psi(t_j - t_{j-1}) \int_0^{\infty} dy_j G(y_j, t_j; c_{j-1} y_{j-1}, t_{j-1}) \int_0^{1} dc_j fc(c_j) \right)
\]

\[
\times \Psi(t - t_n - t_0)G(x, t; c_n y_n, t_n + t_0),
\]

(D8)

with \( t_j = t_j - t_0 \) for \( 1 \leq j \leq n \). If we now use Eq. (A1) with the substitutions

\[
\eta_1(t_j, t_{j-1}, y_j, y_{j-1}, z_j, z_{j-1}) = \psi(t_j - t_{j-1})G(y_j, t_j; z_{j-1} y_{j-1}, t_{j-1}) fc(c_j),
\]

\[
\eta_2(x, t_0, y_n, z_n) = \Psi(t - t_0)G(x, t_0; z_n y_n, t_0),
\]

\[
t_j = t_j + t_0, \quad z_j = c_j, \quad A_1 = 0, \quad A_2 = \infty, \quad A_3 = 0, \quad A_4 = 1
\]

(D9)

for \( 1 \leq j \leq n \), we get

\[
\text{LHS} = \Psi(t - t_0)G(x, t; x_0, t_0) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{t_0}^{t} d\tau_n \int_0^{1} dc_n \int_0^{\infty} dy_n \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \int_0^{\tau_{n-i}} d\tau_{n-i} \psi(\tau_{n-i} - \tau_{n-i}) \right)
\]

\[
\times \int_{t_0}^{\infty} dy_{n-1} G(y_{n-1}, t_0; c_{n-1} y_{n-1} + t_0; c_{n-1} y_{n-1} + t_0; c_n y_n, t_n + t_0) \int_0^{1} dc_{n-1} fc(c_{n-1} y_n, t_n + t_0),
\]

(D10)

which is exactly the last resetting picture.
If we assume that the free propagator is homogeneous in space and time, the stochastic process will be also homogeneous in time but not in space, \( G(x, t; x_0, t_0) = G(x - x_0, t - t_0; 0, 0) \Rightarrow P(x, t; x_0, t_0) = P(x, t - t_0; x_0, 0) \). By assuming \( G(x, t; x_0, t_0) = G(x - x_0, t - t_0; 0, 0) \), the density \( P(x, t; x_0, t_0) \) [Eq. (D10)] becomes

\[
P(x, t; x_0, t_0) = \Psi(t - t_0)G(x - x_0, t - t_0; 0, 0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{t-t_0} d\tau_n \int_{0}^{1} dc_n \int_{0}^{\infty} dy_n \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \int_{0}^{\tau_{n-1}} d\tau_{n-i} \psi(\tau_{n+1-i} - \tau_{n-i}) \right)
\]

\[
\times \left( \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} dy_{n-i} - G(y_{n-i} - c_{n-i}y_{n-i}, \tau_{n-1} - \tau_{n-i}, 0, 0) \right) \int_{0}^{1} dc_{n-i} f(c_{n-1})
\]

\[
\times f(c_1)\psi(\tau_1)G(y_1 - x_0, \tau_1; 0, 0)\psi(t - t_0 - \tau_n)G(x - c_{n}y_{n}, t - t_0 - \tau_n; 0, 0).
\]

(D11)

On the right-hand side of Eq. (D11) \( t \) and \( t_0 \) only arise as the differences \( t - t_0 \), but \( x \) and \( x_0 \) occur as a single term. Thus, \( G(x, t; x_0, t_0) = G(x - x_0, t - t_0; 0, 0) \Rightarrow P(x, t; x_0, t_0) = P(x, t - t_0; x_0, 0) \neq P(x - x_0, t - t_0; 0, 0) \), which proves our claim.

**APPENDIX E: FIRST AND SECOND DERIVATIVES OF EQ. (56) WITH RESPECT TO THE LAPLACE VARIABLE \( u \)**

The first derivative of Eq. (56) reads

\[
\tilde{P}'(u, s; x_0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\Psi}(s + u) \left[ \prod_{k=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{1} dc_k f(c_k) \tilde{\psi}\left( s + u \prod_{i=1}^{k} c_i \right) \right] \exp\left( -u x_0 \prod_{j=0}^{n} c_j \right)
\]

\[
\times \left( \frac{v \tilde{\Psi}(s + u)}{\tilde{\psi}(s + u)} + v \sum_{l=1}^{n} \tilde{\psi}\left( s + u \prod_{i=1}^{l} c_i \right) \prod_{i=l+1}^{n} c_i \right) \left( -x_0 \prod_{j=0}^{n} c_j \right).
\]

(E1)

Using Eq. (E1) and with the notation \( \langle c \rangle = \int_{0}^{1} c f(c)dc \) this expression is rewritten as

\[
\tilde{P}'(0, s; x_0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\Psi}(s) \tilde{\psi}^{\langle n \rangle}(s) \left[ \frac{v \tilde{\Psi}(s)}{\tilde{\psi}(s)} + v \sum_{l=1}^{n} \tilde{\psi}\left( s + u \prod_{i=1}^{l} c_i \right) \prod_{i=l+1}^{n} c_i \right] \tilde{\psi}\left( s + u \prod_{i=1}^{n} c_i \right) \left( -x_0 \prod_{j=0}^{n} c_j \right).
\]

(E2)

The second derivative of Eq. (56) is

\[
\tilde{P}''(u, s; x_0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\Psi}(s + u) \left[ \prod_{k=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{1} dc_k f(c_k) \tilde{\psi}\left( s + u \prod_{i=1}^{k} c_i \right) \right] \exp\left( -u x_0 \prod_{j=0}^{n} c_j \right)
\]

\[
\times \left( \frac{v \tilde{\Psi}(s + u)}{\tilde{\psi}(s + u)} + v \sum_{l=1}^{n} \tilde{\psi}\left( s + u \prod_{i=1}^{l} c_i \right) \prod_{i=l+1}^{n} c_i \right) \left( -x_0 \prod_{j=0}^{n} c_j \right) + v^2 \tilde{\Psi}(s + u)\tilde{\psi}(s + u) - \tilde{\psi}'(s + u)^2
\]

\[
+ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\Psi}(s + u) \left[ \prod_{k=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{1} dc_k f(c_k) \tilde{\psi}\left( s + u \prod_{i=1}^{k} c_i \right) \right] \exp\left( -u x_0 \prod_{j=0}^{n} c_j \right)
\]

\[
\times \left( v^2 \sum_{l=1}^{n} \tilde{\psi}\left( s + u \prod_{i=1}^{l} c_i \right) \prod_{i=l+1}^{n} c_i \right) \tilde{\psi}\left( s + u \prod_{i=1}^{n} c_i \right) - \tilde{\psi}'\left( s + u \prod_{i=1}^{n} c_i \right) \prod_{i=1}^{n} c_i
\]

\[
\times \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n} c_i \right).
\]

(E3)

With the definition \( \langle c^2 \rangle = \int_{0}^{1} c^2 f(c)dc \) we further transform this expression to

\[
\tilde{P}''(0, s; x_0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\Psi}(s) \tilde{\psi}^{\langle n \rangle}(s) \left( v^2 \tilde{\psi}'(s)\tilde{\psi}(s) - \tilde{\psi}'(s)\tilde{\psi}(s) \right) + v^2 \tilde{\psi}'(s)\tilde{\psi}(s) \sum_{l=1}^{n} \langle c^2 \rangle + 2v^2 \tilde{\psi}(s)\tilde{\psi}'(s) \sum_{l=1}^{n} \langle c^3 \rangle + \sum_{l=1}^{n} \langle c^4 \rangle + 2v^2 \tilde{\psi}(s)\tilde{\psi}'(s) \sum_{l=1}^{n} \langle c^4 \rangle
\]

\[
+ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\Psi}(s) \tilde{\psi}^{\langle n \rangle}(s) \left( v^2 \tilde{\psi}'(s)\tilde{\psi}(s) + v^2 \tilde{\psi}'(s)\tilde{\psi}(s) \right) \sum_{l=1}^{n} \left( \langle c^2 \rangle + 2 \sum_{m=1}^{n-l} \langle c^2 \rangle \sum_{l=1}^{n} \langle c^2 \rangle \right)
\]

\[
- \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\Psi}(s) \tilde{\psi}^{\langle n \rangle}(s) \left( 2ux_0 \tilde{\psi}(s)\tilde{\psi}'(s) \sum_{l=1}^{n} \langle c^2 \rangle \right).
\]
Now $\tilde{P}^{\tau}(0, s, x_0)$ can be simplified to

\[
\tilde{P}^{\tau}(0, s, x_0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} v^2 \left( \psi^n(s) \tilde{\psi}^{\tau}(s) + \psi^{n-1}(s) \tilde{\psi}^{\tau}(s) \tilde{\psi}(s) \frac{(c^2) - (c^2)^{n+1}}{1 - (c^2)} + 2 \psi^{n-1}(s) \tilde{\psi}(s) \tilde{\psi}(s) \frac{(c - (c^2)^{n+1})}{1 - (c^2)} \right) 
\]

\[
+ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2v^2 \tilde{\psi}(s)(\tilde{\psi}^{\tau}(s) + \psi^{n-1}(s) \tilde{\psi}(s) \tilde{\psi}(s) \frac{(c^2) - (c^2)^{n+1}}{1 - (c^2)} + \psi^{n-2}(s) \tilde{\psi}(s) \frac{(c - (c^2)^{n+1})}{1 - (c^2)(c - 1)} + \psi^{n-2}(s) \psi^{n-1}(s) \tilde{\psi}(s) \tilde{\psi}(s) \frac{(c^2)^n - (c^2) (c^2)}{(c^2) - (c^2)^2}) \right) 
\]

\[
- \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2v \left( \psi^n(s) \tilde{\psi}(s) \langle c \rangle + \psi^{n-1}(s) \tilde{\psi}(s) \tilde{\psi}(s) \frac{(c^2) - (c^2)^{n+1}}{(c^2) - (c^2)} \right) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\psi}^{\tau}(s) \tilde{\psi}(s) (c^2)^n. \quad (E4)
\]


[76] P. M. S. Thal, J. Geol. 89, 569 (1981).


