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We investigate a diffusion process with a time-dependent diffusion coefficient, both exponentially increasing and decreasing in time, $D(t) = D_0 e^{2\alpha t}$. For this (hypothetical) nonstationary diffusion process we compute—both analytically and from extensive stochastic simulations—the behavior of the ensemble- and time-averaged mean-squared displacements (MSDs) of the particles, both in the over- and underdamped limits. Simple asymptotic relations derived for the short- and long-time behaviors are shown to be in excellent agreement with the results of simulations. The diffusive characteristics in the presence of ageing are also considered, with dramatic differences of the over- versus underdamped regime. Our results for $D(t) = D_0 e^{\pm \alpha t}$ extend and generalize the class of diffusive systems obeying scaled Brownian motion featuring a power-law-like variation of the diffusivity with time, $D(t) \sim t^{\alpha-1}$. We also examine the logarithmically increasing diffusivity, $D(t) = D_0 \log[t/\tau_0]$, as another fundamental functional dependence (in addition to the power-law and exponential) and as an example of diffusivity slowly varying in time. One of the main conclusions is that the behavior of the massive particles is predominantly ergodic, while weak ergodicity breaking is repeatedly found for the time-dependent diffusion of the massless particles at short times. The latter manifests itself in the nonequivalence of the (both nonaged and aged) MSD and the mean time-averaged MSD. The current findings are potentially applicable to a class of physical systems out of thermal equilibrium where a rapid increase or decrease of the particle’s diffusivity is inherently realized. One biological system potentially featuring all three types of time-dependent diffusion (power-law-like, exponential, and logarithmic) is water diffusion in the brain tissues, as discussed in the conclusions.

I. Introduction

A. Anomalous diffusion and its models

Brownian motion (BM) features a linear spreading dynamics of the particles and a Gaussian distribution of their increments. Physical processes with non-Brownian spreading dynamics of the particles feature a nonlinear growth of the ensemble-averaged mean-squared displacement (MSD)1–13. In one spatial dimension, the MSD for anomalous-diffusion processes obeys a power-law

$$\langle x^2(t) \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 P(x, t) dx = 2K \alpha t^\alpha, \quad (1)$$

where $P(x, t)$ is the probability density function, $\alpha$ is the anomalous scaling exponent, and $K_\alpha$ is the generalized diffusion coefficient (with the physical dimensions $[K_\alpha] = m^2/s^\alpha$). A variety of theoretical models of stochastic processes featuring the nonlinear MSD growth (1) has emerged in the last decade5,7,10,11 targeting the underlying physical mechanisms and offering the mathematical description for many experimental and simulations-based observations of anomalous diffusion (often transient). The list is by now too long to adequately overview all evidence and length/time scales here. From the biological perspective, for instance, we mention a number of real systems and models of anomalous diffusion, including those in living cells, on lipid membranes, and in artificially crowded media10–61.

Recently, a number of diffusion models of continuous-time random walk type62–73, viscoelastic diffusion10,74–76, fractional BM5,77–80, some combinations of continuous-time random walk and fractional BM81–83, diffusion based on the fractional Langevin equation10,84, heterogeneous diffusion processes with the space-dependent diffusivity85–94 $D(x) \sim |x|^{\beta}$, yielding the MSD $\langle x^2(t) \rangle \sim t^{\beta}$ (see also the infinite ergodic theory for such $D(x)$ forms95), combinations of $D(x) \sim |x|^{\alpha}$ with fractional BM96, with multiplicative-noise97 processes for colored Gaussian98 and Lévy noise99, diffusion in heterogeneous velocity fields100, heterogeneous diffusion with potential fields101 as well as $D(x)$-diffusion in comb and fractal structures102). The diffusive and nonergodic properties of heterogeneous systems with exponential $D(x)$ were also considered89. Both under- and overdamped BM at nonhomogeneous temperature coupled to the diffusivity were studied103.

As more examples, the spreading dynamics in crowded and heterogeneous environments11,39,60,73,104–113, diffusion with systematically varying time-dependent diffusivities $D(t)^{114–123}$ (including the dynamics of granular matter slowing down due to inelastic collisions of the particles119,124), slowing down $D(t)$-dependent diffusion in brain tissues115–131 (see also Ref.134 and the magnetic-resonance-imaging-studies of diffusion in general135,136) were examined. The particle-spreading dynamics in time- and space-fluctuating-diffusivity landscapes144,137–143, in expanding/contracting media144,145, and in the models with diffusing, switch-
ing and random diffusivities (including superstatistical ones)\textsuperscript{60,76,112,140,146–152} was studied as well.

A number of single-trajectory-based algorithms of assessment-and-ranking and parameter-estimation of realizable models of diffusion for a data set of tracer positions (as recorded in single-particle-tracking experiments\textsuperscript{153–156}) were developed\textsuperscript{51,142,157–162}. This list includes the recent Bayesian-statistics-based methods\textsuperscript{51,142,163,165}, machine-learning approaches\textsuperscript{83,159,166–171}, concepts of recurrent neural networks\textsuperscript{162}, inference-based methods for diffusion models\textsuperscript{138,161} and the spectral-density single-trajectory analysis\textsuperscript{160}. We mention here the models with “switching” between different types of (anomalous) diffusion (intermittent processes)\textsuperscript{50,124,147,161,162,164,172–175}. The importance of particle-localization errors onto determination of “apparent” subdiffusion\textsuperscript{176–181} should also be emphasized (see also the recent studies on quantifying error corrections in particle-tracking microrheology\textsuperscript{182} and for ergodic ensembles of colloidal particles\textsuperscript{183}). Recent applications of the concepts of anomalous diffusion and nonergodicity\textsuperscript{185–189} for the analysis of income growth in gambling are also to be mentioned\textsuperscript{190}. Finally, for a perspective on nonergodicity and possible sources of bias in biological, biomedical, behavioral, and psychological systems we refer to Ref.\textsuperscript{191}.

\subsection*{B. Time-dependent diffusivity}

For the time-dependent diffusivity of the form

\[ D(t) \sim t^{\beta-1} \]

the process of so called scaled BM (SBM) is realized, with

\[ \langle x^2(t) \rangle = 2D(t)t \sim t^\beta, \]

studied in depth both in the over- and underdamped limits\textsuperscript{80,116–118,121,122,139} as well as recently in the presence of resetting\textsuperscript{123}. The special case of SBM yielding ultraslow diffusion with the log-like MSD growth was considered too\textsuperscript{120}. We refer here also to the recent review on ultraslow diffusion in heterogeneous materials\textsuperscript{199}. Experimentally, the models of power-law $D(t)$ were utilized recently, e.g., to rationalize water diffusion in brain tissues\textsuperscript{130,131}.

The current study unveils the ensemble- and time-averaged particle displacements and the spreading characteristics of a Markovian yet nonstationary stochastic process with a time-dependent diffusivity. As the time-domain analogy of the modification of heterogeneous diffusion processes $D(x) \sim |\dot{x}|$ to exponentially and logarithmically position-varying diffusivity\textsuperscript{89}, $D(x) \sim e^{-x}$ and $D(x) \sim \log x$, we here extend the framework of the canonical SBM model to the diffusivity exponentially and logarithmically varying in time. Specifically, we consider both increasing and decreasing diffusivities,

\[ D(t) = D_0 e^{2\alpha t}, \]

and call this process exponential SBM (ESBM), see the schematic in Fig. 1, as well as the scenario with

\[ D(t) = D_0 \log[t/\tau_0] \]

called below logarithmic SBM (LSBM). We refer to\textsuperscript{192} for an example of logarithmically slow dynamics. *\textsuperscript{190}

We investigate the short- and long-time scaling behavior for the MSD (1) and the time-averaged MSD (TAMSD) defined in Eq. (7) below both for under- and overdamped motion. The aspects of ageing for $D(t)$ of the form (2) were examined analytically and by simulations in Ref.\textsuperscript{122} using the MSD, the TAMSD, and the ergodicity breaking parameter (denoted below as EB) and we generalize this approach to (4) and (5) here. We uncover the properties of aged ESBM below as well.

We consider the spreading dynamics of particles with $D(t)$ of the form (4), with the time-local fluctuation-dissipation relation\textsuperscript{97,103,115} assumed to hold. Thus, time variations of the temperature $T(t)$ and diffusivity $D(t)$ are coupled to that of the friction coefficient $\gamma(t)$ of the particle of mass $m$ via

\[ D(t) = k_B T(t)/[m \gamma(t)], \]

where $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant. This condition of the local thermal equilibrium implies that the internal relaxation in the system occurs on time scales much shorter than a typical time scale of temperature variation.

\subsection*{C. Structure of the paper}

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we present the Langevin equation in the underdamped limit

*Throughout the text, we reserve $\beta$ for the MSD scaling exponent, parameter $\alpha$ denotes the rate of diffusivity variation for the case $D(t) \sim e^{2\alpha t}$, and $\tau_0$ is a typical time-scale for $D(t) \sim \log[t/\tau_0]$ scenario.
for $D(t) = D_0 e^{2\alpha t}$. We describe the approximations employed and define relevant observables. In Sec. IIIA we present the main results for the MSD and mean TAMSD obtained from the analytic calculations and computer simulations, while the behavior of the EB parameter is considered in Sec. IIIB. We also discuss the underlying mathematical features and physical consequences of the main findings. The main scaling relations for the MSD and TAMSD in all the scenarios are summarized in Tab. I. In Sec. IV the findings for all three scenarios ($D(t) = D_0 e^{2\alpha t}$, $D(t) = D_0 e^{-2\alpha t}$, and $D(t) = D_0 \log(t/\tau_0)$) are discussed and future developments of diffusion models with time-varying $D(t)$ are outlined. The details of analytical derivations and auxiliary figures are presented in Apps. A, B, and C. Finally, in Sec. V we discuss the relevance of these nonstationary diffusion models to the description of water diffusion in gray- and white-matter tissues of the human brain. This deeply complex biological system with intricate behaviors on multiple scales serves as the motivation for the current theoretical analysis.

II. Physical observables and simulation scheme

A. MSD, TAMSD, EB parameter, and ageing

The TAMSD—the physical observable central, for instance, to quantify the dynamics in the single-particle tracking experiments—is defined (in one spatial dimension) as

$$\tilde{\xi}^2(\Delta) = \frac{1}{T - \Delta} \int_0^{T-\Delta} [x(t + \Delta) - x(t)]^2 dt. \quad (7)$$

Here $\Delta$ is the lag time (setting the width of the averaging window along the time series) and $T$ is the total length of the trajectory. After averaging over $N$ statistically independent realizations of a process, the mean TAMSD at a lag time $\Delta$ is computed as

$$\langle \tilde{\xi}^2(\Delta) \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \tilde{\xi}^2_i(\Delta). \quad (8)$$

For an ergodic diffusive process, the MSD (1) and the TAMSD (7) are identical in the limit $\Delta T \ll 1^{5,10}$. Here, the quantitative measure of ergodicity $194–196$ (and introduced even earlier in Ref. 197) is the EB parameter based on the fourth moment of the particle displacement$^{10,62,77}$,

$$\text{EB}(\Delta) = \frac{\langle \tilde{\xi}^4(\Delta) \rangle - \langle \tilde{\xi}^2(\Delta) \rangle^2}{\langle \tilde{\xi}^2(\Delta) \rangle^2} = \langle \tilde{\xi}^2(\Delta) \rangle - 1. \quad (9)$$

Here, the normalized TAMSD

$$\tilde{\xi}(\Delta) = \frac{\tilde{\xi}^2(\Delta)}{\langle \tilde{\xi}^2(\Delta) \rangle}$$

quantifies relative deviations via dispersion of the distribution of individual TAMSD realizations around their mean (8) divided by the mean TAMSD squared. For an ergodic system of diffusing particles at any finite lag time $\Delta$ the relation

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \text{EB}(\Delta) \to 0\quad (11)$$

holds and in the limit $\Delta \ll T$ each individual TAMSD realization approaches the MSD at the same lag time,

$$\tilde{\xi}^2(\Delta) \to \langle \tilde{\xi}^2(\Delta) \rangle. \quad (12)$$

This definition of ergodicity in the Khinchin-Boltzmann sense is less strict than the classical "mixing" definition$^{6,185,193}$. The latter implies exponential divergence of trajectories with the same starting point in phase-space.

For ESBM with

$$D(t) = D_0 e^{2\alpha t} \quad (16)$$

we solve the stochastic Langevin equation for the massive $(m = 1)$ and massless potential-free particles$^{119–122}$,

$$m \frac{d^2x(t)}{dt^2} + \gamma(t) \frac{dx(t)}{dt} = \sqrt{2D(t)\gamma(t)} \eta(t). \quad (17)$$

This system is driven by the Gaussian noise $\eta(t)$ with zero mean $\langle \eta(t) \rangle = 0$ and unit variance $\langle \eta(t)\eta(t') \rangle = \delta(t - t')$. \quad (18)

The general definition of ergodicity based on a metric for any physical observable was employed already by Mountain and Thirumalai$^{194–196}$ and introduced even earlier in Ref. 197. To recapitulate the main conclusions, the "order parameter" $\Omega$ similar to Eq. (9) was used$^{194–196}$ as a quantitative measure of sampling of the configuration space by the particles and of the related (non)-ergodicity (applied, e.g., to supercooled liquids and binary mixtures of soft interacting spheres). For the energy-fluctuation metric, e.g., the definition was based on the total energies of the particles $E_i$ averaged over the trajectory length $T$, namely

$$\tilde{E_i}(T) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T E_i(s) ds. \quad (13)$$

For a finite classical system of $N$ particles with conservative forces, the energy-related ergodicity parameter was defined as the ensemble-average$^{194–196}$,

$$\Omega_{\text{EB}}(T) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \left[ \tilde{E_i}(T) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \tilde{E_j}(T) \right]^2. \quad (14)$$

This quantity vanishes for an "effectively ergodic"$^{198}$ dynamics at finite observation times $T^{194–196}$ provided the time-scale of internal relaxation/dynamics is $\tau_{\text{int}} \ll T$. The rate of exploration of the configurational space and of the convergence to ergodicity is given by the "diffusion constant" $D_{\text{sys}}$, with the fundamental decay law with the trajectory length $T$ given as

$$\Omega_{\text{EB}}(T)/\Omega_{\text{EB}}(0) \sim 1/(D_{\text{sys}} T). \quad (15)$$

The typical "mixing time", $\tau_{\text{mix}}$, depends on the temperature $T$ of the system via $D_{\text{sys}}$ as follows$^{194–196}$ $\tau_{\text{mix}}(T) \sim 1/D_{\text{sys}}(T)$. 
The friction coefficient is set to depend on time as
\[ \gamma(t) = \gamma_0 e^{-2\alpha t} \]  
(19)
and the temperature is set to be constant,

\[ T(t) = T_0, \]  
(20)
in order to satisfy relation (6).

From the velocity-velocity correlation function, \( \langle v(t_1) v(t_2) \rangle \), the MSD and the mean TAMSD are expressed as

\[ \langle x^2(t) \rangle = 2 \int_0^t dt_1 \int_{t_1}^t dt_2 \langle v(t_1) v(t_2) \rangle \]  
(21)
and

\[ \bar{\langle x^2(\Delta) \rangle} = \int_0^{t-\Delta} dt \left[ \langle x^2(t + \Delta) \rangle - \langle x^2(t) \rangle - 2A(t, \Delta) \right]/T - \Delta, \]  
(22)
respectively, where we defined

\[ A(t, \Delta) = \int_0^t dt_1 \int_{t_1}^{t+\Delta} dt_2 \langle v(t_1) v(t_2) \rangle. \]  
(23)

In the presence of ageing—when the recording of the time series starts after a given time \( t_0 \) after the preparation of a system—the aged MSD and aged TAMSD are given by, correspondingly,\(^7,10,122\)

\[ \langle x^2_a(t) \rangle = 2 \int_{t_0}^{t_0+t} dt_1 \int_{t_1}^{t_0+t} dt_2 \langle v(t_1) v(t_2) \rangle \]  
(24)
and

\[ \bar{\langle x^2_a(\Delta) \rangle} = \frac{1}{T - \Delta} \int_{t_0}^{t_0+T-\Delta} \left[ x(t + \Delta) - x(t) \right]^2 dt, \]  
(25)
The correlator \( \langle v(t_1) v(t_2) \rangle \) used in (24) is nonaged\(^{122}\).

### B. Discretization scheme

After the discretization of the trajectory into \( T/\delta t \) steps, in the computer algorithm the time-step of integration was set to \( \delta t = 1 \) in our simulations (unless explicitly specified otherwise). Thus, at a time instance \( t_{n+1} \) the following discrete forward-type 10 scheme for the velocity and position of the particle is solved,

\[ v(t_{n+1}) = v(t_n) + \sqrt{2D(t_n)\gamma(t_n)\eta(t_n)}\sqrt{t_{n+1} - t_n} - \gamma(t_n)v(t_n)(t_{n+1} - t_n), \]  
(26)
and

\[ x(t_{n+1}) = x(t_n) + v(t_n)(t_{n+1} - t_n). \]  
(27)

Below, we present the results in terms of the dimensionless displacements and time; the values of the model parameters \( (D_0, \alpha, \gamma_0, T, \text{etc.}) \) are also given in the figure captions without their physical units (for simplicity).

### III. Main results for \( D(t) = D_0 e^{2\alpha t} \)

In the main text, for the MSD and TAMSD we present the key results of analytical calculations and computer simulations for \( D(t) = D_0 e^{2\alpha t} \) (Secs. III A and III B). The detailed derivations for rapidly varying diffusivities \( D(t) = D_0 e^{2\alpha t} \) and \( D(t) = D_0 e^{-2\alpha t} \) as well as for a slowly varying form \( D(t) = D_0 \log[t/\tau_0] \) are given in Apps. A, B, and C, correspondingly (together with supplementary figures for ESBM and LSBM).

#### A. MSD and mean TAMSD for \( D(t) = D_0 e^{2\alpha t} \)

1. Massive particles

In Fig. 2 the particle displacements in the underdamped limit are shown. Both the MSD and mean...
TAMSD for the massive particles start ballistically with time, consistent with the theoretical predictions of Eqs. (A6) and (A11). The variation of the MSD and the mean TAMSD with α is presented in Figs. 3 and A1, respectively. At moderate values of α for intermediate lag times—roughly, from a characteristic time $\sim 1/\gamma_0$ of momentum relaxation to the diffusivity-variation time $\sim 1/\alpha$—a region of linear growth of $\langle x^2(t) \rangle$ and $\langle \delta^2(\Delta) \rangle$ is observed, consistent with the analytical predictions (A8) and (A12), respectively.

At long times the MSD is ballistic again, in agreement with (A9), see Figs. 2 and 3. We find that for very small α values, such that $\alpha T \ll 1$ and almost no implications of the time-dependent diffusivity effectively present, the mean TAMSD starts ballistically following $\approx D_0 \gamma_0 a^2$, as Eq. (A11) predicts, while at intermediate and long times the standard diffusion law

$$\langle \delta^2(\Delta) \rangle \approx 2D_0 \Delta$$

is detected. For larger values of the parameter α, such that $\alpha T \gg 1$, in the entire region of lag times a ballistic growth of the TAMSD is observed, see Fig. A1. Under these conditions, the value of the lag time from which the linear TAMSD growth is expected to occur shifts progressively towards larger values, so that they can be even larger than the total length of the trajectory investigated (see Eqs. (A16)). In the region of time where the nonaged and aged MSDs of the massive particles with $D(t) = D_0 e^{2\alpha t}$ turn from the intermediate-time linear to the long-time ballistic growth, the MSD increases with time faster than ballistically, see Figs. 4, 3 and AA3a.

Note that to observe all regimes of diffusion the trajectory should be long enough, i.e., $T \gg 1/\alpha$, whereas for rather large α values we indeed only detect the initial ballistic regime, see Fig. AA2. The amplitude spread of individual TAMSD realizations is found to be very small at short lag times, but increases towards the end of the trajectories, as it generally should also for standard BM.10 This effect is due to worsening statistics as the lag time approaches the trajectory length.10 Note that as $\Delta \rightarrow T$ for some parameters the mean TAMSD drops in magnitude, as seen in Fig. AA2.

Considering the ageing dynamics of ESBM for the massive particles with $D(t) = D_0 e^{2\alpha t}$, for the aged MSD (24) we find that the time dependence of $\langle x^2(t) \rangle$ changes neither at short nor at long times. This is predicted theoretically (see Eq. (A18) and Fig. 4) and supported by the simulations (see Figs AA3a). The latter also demonstrates that the regime of linear diffusion (A8) vanishes for long ageing times $t_\alpha$ (the limit of so called strong ageing). The evolution of $\langle x^2(t) \rangle$ for varying $t_\alpha$ predicted theoretically and obtained from the simulations are in excellent, quantitative agreement (when plotted for the same parameters, as in Figs. 4 and AA3a).

The behavior of the aged mean TAMSD, $\langle \delta^2(\Delta) \rangle$, as obtained from our computer simulations for the massive particles with $D(t) = D_0 e^{2\alpha t}$ is presented in Figs. AA3b and AA4a,b. We find that for very small α values, at $\alpha T \ll 1$, the effects of ageing are almost invisible: at short lag times $\Delta \ll 1/\gamma_0$ the $\langle \delta^2(\Delta) \rangle$ magnitude starts ballistically according to (A11) and at intermediate-to-long lag times the standard diffusion law (A12) is observed, as shown in Fig. AA4a. For larger α values the magnitude of $\langle \delta^2(\Delta) \rangle$ at long times increases substantially for larger $t_\alpha$ values; the overall $\langle \delta^2(\Delta) \rangle$ versus $\Delta$ behavior remains nearly ballistic at short times and turns linear at long lag times, see Fig. AA3b. For a gradually increasing $t_\alpha$ value, the threshold lag-time value $\Delta^*$ after which the linear regime of the aged TAMSD growth is observed also increases, see the estimation of Eq. (A22).

Ultimately, for even larger values of α for long ageing times $t_\alpha$ the transition from the linear to the ballistic behavior of $\langle \delta^2(\Delta) \rangle$ is delayed so dramatically, that for the length of the trajectory examined in our computer simulations of Fig. AA4b the long-lag-time linear behavior is not present at all. These general features of the behavior of the aged TAMSD agree well with our theoretical predictions of Eqs. (A19), (A20) and (A21), see Figs. AA3b and AA4b. The magnitude of $\langle \delta^2(\Delta) \rangle$ at intermediate lag times is well described by Eq. (A20) at $\alpha T \ll 1$ (see Fig. AA3b), while for larger α values when this condition does not hold any longer the magnitude of $\langle \delta^2(\Delta) \rangle$ is, as expected, not well captured by the theory, with its linear slope is still correctly predicted (see Fig. AA4b).

2. Massless particles

The results of computer simulations in the overdamped limit of Eq. (17) demonstrate that the short-time evolution of $\langle x^2(t) \rangle$ for the massless particles is linear in time, see Eq. (A25). The MSD in the region of intermediate-
FIG. 5: MSD and mean TAMSD for the overdamped ESBM (for the massless particles) with $D(t) = D_0 e^{2\alpha t}$ obtained by computer simulations. The full theoretical predictions (A23) and (A26) are the solid black curves, while the short-time asymptotes for the MSD (A25) and mean TAMSD (A27) are shown as the white dashed lines. Parameters: $m = 0$, $D_0 = 10^{-5}$, $\gamma_0 = 10^{-2}$, $\alpha = 10^{-5}$, $T = 10^6$, and $N = 200$.

FIG. 6: Aged MSD $\langle x_\alpha^2(t) \rangle$ and aged mean TAMSD $\langle \delta^2_t(\Delta) \rangle$ obtained by computer simulations of the massless particles with $D(t) = D_0 e^{2\alpha t}$ plotted versus the lag time $\Delta$ for varying ageing time $t_a$. The magnitudes of $\langle x_\alpha^2(t) \rangle$ and $\langle \delta^2_t(\Delta) \rangle$ were divided by the rescaling “ageing” factor $e^{2\alpha t_a}$ (derived in Eqs. (A30) and (A31), as shown on the y-axis) to yield the universal theoretically expected curve, Eq. (29). The values of $t_a$ are given in the legend. Parameters: $m = 0$, $D_0 = 10^{-5}$, $\alpha = 10^{-3}$, $T = 10^3$, and $N = 10^3$.

to-long times grows exponentially with diffusion time, in agreement with the theoretical prediction of Eqs. (A23) and (A24), as shown in Fig. 5. The variation of $\langle \delta^2_t(\Delta) \rangle$ with the lag time obtained in simulations agrees with the analytical prediction (A26). In the limit of short lag times and long trajectories, the mean TAMSD grows linearly with $\Delta$, in agreement with Eq. (A27). In this limit, the system behaves nonergodically: the magnitudes of $\langle \delta^2_t(\Delta) \rangle$ and $\langle \delta^2_t(\Delta) \rangle$ differ by a large factor given by Eq. (A28). Towards the end of the trajectory, the MSD and mean TAMSD become equal, as predicted theoretically, see Eq. (A29). Note that the exponential growth of the MSD and the linear growth of the TAMSD are reminiscent of those known for the process of geometric BM$^{202,203}$, a paradigmatic stochastic process (effectively, exponentiated BM) modeling important features of price fluctuations (and respective returns) of the stock markets and option prices, see the fundamental studies$^{204,205}$.

Therefore, the behavior of the MSD and mean TAMSD for ESBM for the massive and massless particles with $D(t) = D_0 e^{2\alpha t}$ demonstrates that the long-time diffusion is dramatically different in the limit of underdamped and overdamped motion. Similar conclusions were made$^{121}$ for the case of canonical SBM$^{116}$ with a power-law variation of the diffusion coefficient with time, Eq. (2).

For the massless particles with $D(t) = D_0 e^{2\alpha t}$, the ageing effects are much more pronounced than those for the massive particles, compare the results of computer simulations in Fig. 6 and Fig. AA3b, respectively. Namely, for zero-mass particles the magnitudes of the aged MSD and aged TAMSD grow exponentially with the ageing time $t_a$. Specifically, the analytical expressions (A30) and (A31) quantify the $\Delta$-independent universal rescaling for the ratio of the aged and nonaged spreading characteristics,

$$\frac{\langle x_\alpha^2(t) \rangle}{\langle x_\alpha^2(\Delta) \rangle} = \frac{\langle \delta^2_t(\Delta) \rangle}{\langle \delta^2_t(\Delta) \rangle} = e^{2\alpha t_a},$$

which are in excellent agreement with the results of simulations, see Fig. 6. The analytical expressions for the nonaged MSD and the mean TAMSD for zero-mass particles entering Eq. (29) are given by (A23) and (A26). Overall, for the massless particles the increase of the magnitude of $\langle \delta_t^2(\Delta) \rangle$ with the ageing time $t_a$ is considerably stronger that that of $\langle \delta_t^2(\Delta) \rangle$ for the massive particles with $D(t) = D_0 e^{2\alpha t}$.

As follows from Fig. 6 and Eq. (29) the phenomenon of weak ergodicity breaking (WEB) also prevails for aged ESBM describing the dynamics of the massless particles with $D(t) = D_0 e^{2\alpha t}$, with the MSD-to-TAMSD interrelation being similar to Eq. (A28) for the nonaged situation.

B. EB for $D(t) = D_0 e^{2\alpha t}$

1. Massive particles

The simulations for the massive particles with $D(t) = D_0 e^{2\alpha t}$ show that the EB parameter at short lag times $\Delta$ does not grow linearly with $\Delta$ at a fixed trajectory length $T$, see Fig. AA5. EB attains rather large values at short lag times and the overall $\text{EB}(\Delta)$-variation is quite weak. At a fixed lag time EB scales inversely proportional to the trace length,

$$\text{EB}(T) \sim 1/T,$$

as demonstrated in Fig. AA6. This feature is similar to that for a number of other stochastic diffusion processes, both of normal and anomalous nature$^{119}$. For comparison, in Fig. AA7 we present the EB variation versus the lag time for the case of time-independent diffusivity, at
\( \alpha = 0 \). For larger values of \( \alpha \) the EB behavior with the lag time is more complicated, see Fig. AA8. Namely, after the initial decrease of EB with the trajectory length, roughly as \( \text{EB}(T) \propto 1/T \), at lag times \( \Delta \gtrsim 1/\alpha \) the EB parameter starts (rather unexpectedly) increasing with \( T \) and it saturates at a plateau towards the very end of the trajectory, at \( \Delta \to T \). The height of this plateau decreases as the lag time increases, see Fig. AA8.

2. Massless particles

For the massless particles with \( D(t) = D_0 e^{2\alpha t} \) for the same \( \alpha \) values we, however, still observe an EB(T) \( \sim 1/T \) decrease at a fixed lag time, see Fig. AA9. At \( \Delta \gtrsim 1/\alpha \) the EB parameter stops decreasing, saturating at a pronounced plateau. The height of this EB plateau increases as the lag time increases, see Fig. AA9, a clear characteristic of a progressively less ergodic diffusion. We remind the reader that, as given by Eq. (9), when the dispersion of individual TAMSDs stays nearly unchanged for a constant lag time at varying trace lengths \( T \), a plateau-like behavior of EB(T) is expected.

At \( \Delta \gtrsim 1/\alpha \) the EB parameter starts experiencing the effects of ever growing diffusivity with time, \( \text{EB}(t) = D_0 e^{2\alpha t} \), and as a result at a fixed value of the lag time \( \Delta \) with an increasing length \( T \) of the trajectory the system does not reveal a progressively more ergodic behavior (with a decreasing EB value), but rather at \( \alpha \Delta \gtrsim 1 \) the EB parameter as a function of \( T \) attains a nearly constant, stationary value. This behavior is consistent with a roughly linear EB(\( \Delta \)) growth at short-to-intermediate lag times (in the region of lag times \( \Delta \lesssim 1/\alpha \)). Specifically, the functional variation of EB(\( \Delta \)) is similar to that known for BM\(^{10,77} \),

\[
\text{EB}_{BM}(\Delta) \approx 4\Delta/(3T),
\]

but the observed magnitude of EB for ESBM with \( D(t) = D_0 e^{2\alpha t} \) is considerably larger, see Fig. AA10. At later lag times, for \( \Delta \) values in the range \( 1/\alpha \lesssim \Delta \lesssim T \), a nearly constant EB value is detected, as demonstrated in Fig. AA10. Towards the very end of the trajectory, the magnitude of EB reveals a sharp growth to the terminal value of EB=2, see Fig. AA10. This later part of the EB(\( \Delta \))-behavior for ESBM with \( D(t) = D_0 e^{2\alpha t} \) is reminiscent of that for the EB parameter for the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process computed analytically and enumerated by simulations in Ref.\(^{200} \) (for the equilibrium initial conditions of the harmonically confined particles), see also Ref.\(^{201} \).

We therefore observe that for the massive particles with \( D(t) = D_0 e^{2\alpha t} \) the initial decrease of the EB parameter with \( T \) reverses into a transient increase at \( T \gtrsim 1/\alpha \) (see Fig. AA8), while for the massless particles performing the same ESBM the EB parameter exhibits a plateau region in this range of trace-lengths. For short trajectories, when \( T \lesssim 1/\alpha \) and the effects of a time-growing diffusivity are not yet manifesting themselves, the EB decreases with \( T \) similarly both for massive and massless particles.

IV. Summary and discussion of the main results

In this study we presented the results of the analytical and computer-simulations-based analysis of anomalous and nonergodic diffusion (also in the presence of ageing) for the case of time-dependent diffusivity of the antagonistic forms \( D(t) = D_0 e^{2\alpha t} \) (exponentially fast variation) and \( D(t) = D_0 \log[t/\tau_0] \) (ultraslow logarithmic variation). Other than power-law, exponential, and logarithmic forms of the diffusivity variation in time can clearly be proposed and rationalized in order to make the analytical results applicable to physical systems featuring other functional dependencies of \( D(t) \). We summarize the key asymptotic results for the MSD and mean TAMSD for these three cases below as well as in Tab. I.

A. Case \( D(t) = D_0 e^{2\alpha t} \)

1. Massive particles

For the case of ESBM with \( D(t) = D_0 e^{2\alpha t} \) the behavior of the unaged system of the massive particles is ergodic. Namely, we find the equivalence of the MSD (\( \langle x^2(t) \rangle \)) and the mean TAMSD (\( \langle \delta^2(\Delta) \rangle \)) at short times (see Eqs. (A6) and (A11)), as illustrated in Figs. 2, 3, and AA1. At long times (\( \langle \delta^2(\Delta) \rangle \)) can experience a drop in magnitude, as seen in Fig. AA2, while the MSD remains diffusive at long times, see Fig. 3.

For the aged system of the massive particles with \( D(t) = D_0 e^{2\alpha t} \) the magnitude of the short-time ballistic MSD (\( \langle x^2(t) \rangle \)) does not change with \( t_a \), see Eq. (A18) and Figs. 4 and AA3a. The magnitude of the aged mean TAMSD (\( \langle \delta^2(\Delta) \rangle \)) is ballistic at short times (at \( \Delta \lesssim 1/\gamma_0 \)) and turns linear at intermediate-to-long times with the magnitude that increases with the ageing time \( t_a \). This enhanced TAMSD magnitude is quantified by Eq. (A15) and it is shown Fig. AA3b. The results of computer simulations for (\( \langle \delta^2(\Delta) \rangle \)) of other values of \( \alpha \) are also shown in Fig. AA4. We find that for very small \( \alpha \) values, such that \( \alpha T \ll 1 \), the effect of ageing for \( \alpha \ll 1 \) is negligible, see Fig. AA4a. For longer ageing times \( t_a \) the region of the initial ballistic, ageing-independent growth (\( \langle \delta^2(\Delta) \rangle \) \( \sim D_0 \gamma_0 \Delta^2 \)) extends in the region of longer lag times. Due to this, the respective magnitude of the (\( \langle \delta^2(\Delta) \rangle \)) increases with \( t_a \) as well, see Figs. AA3b and AA4b and Eq. (A20). For the aged system of massive particles with \( D(t) = D_0 e^{2\alpha t} \) in the limit \( \Delta/T \ll 1 \) we observe no phenomenon of WEB, with the magnitudes of the aged MSD and aged mean TAMSD

2. Massless particles

For the massless particles with \( D(t) = D_0 e^{2\alpha t} \) the same functional variation of EB(\( \Delta \)) is similar to that known for BM\(^{10,77} \),

\[
\text{EB}_{BM}(\Delta) \approx 4\Delta/(3T),
\]

but the observed magnitude of EB for ESBM with \( D(t) = D_0 e^{2\alpha t} \) is considerably larger, see Fig. AA10. At later lag times, for \( \Delta \) values in the range \( 1/\alpha \lesssim \Delta \lesssim T \), a nearly constant EB value is detected, as demonstrated in Fig. AA10. Towards the very end of the trajectory, the magnitude of EB reveals a sharp growth to the terminal value of EB=2, see Fig. AA10. This later part of the EB(\( \Delta \))-behavior for ESBM with \( D(t) = D_0 e^{2\alpha t} \) is reminiscent of that for the EB parameter for the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process computed analytically and enumerated by simulations in Ref.\(^{200} \) (for the equilibrium initial conditions of the harmonically confined particles), see also Ref.\(^{201} \).

We therefore observe that for the massive particles with \( D(t) = D_0 e^{2\alpha t} \) the initial decrease of the EB parameter with \( T \) reverses into a transient increase at \( T \gtrsim 1/\alpha \) (see Fig. AA8), while for the massless particles performing the same ESBM the EB parameter exhibits a plateau region in this range of trace-lengths. For short trajectories, when \( T \lesssim 1/\alpha \) and the effects of a time-growing diffusivity are not yet manifesting themselves, the EB decreases with \( T \) similarly both for massive and massless particles.
being equal at short (lag) times, see Figs. 4, AA3, and AA4.

2. Massless particles

For the nonaged system of the massless particles diffusing with \( D(t) = D_0 e^{\alpha t} \), the magnitudes of the MSD and mean TAMSD differ strongly in the limit of short (lag) times, compare Eqs. (A25) and (A27). This fact is indicative of the presence of WEB, as demonstrated in Fig. 5 and indicated in Tab. I. We emphasize here the overall general trend of the MSD-to-TAMSD equivalence for the short-time underdamped diffusion versus the existence of WEB and the disparity in MSD-vs-TAMSD magnitudes for the short-time overdamped diffusion with \( D(t) = D_0 e^{2\alpha t} \). For short times, the \( \langle x^2(t) \rangle \) and \( \langle \delta^2(\Delta) \rangle \) grow linearly with time, while at intermediate-to-long times (at \( t \gtrsim 1/\alpha \)) the MSD scales exponentially, see Eq. (A24). This is in stark contrast to the at-most-ballistic growth of the MSD predicted and observed for ESBM of the massive particles with \( D(t) = D_0 e^{2\alpha t} \), as shown in Fig. 4.

Likewise, the magnitudes of the aged MSD \( \langle x_0^2(t) \rangle \) and aged mean TAMSD \( \langle \delta_0^2(\Delta) \rangle \) for the situation \( m = 0 \) relate in the very same proportions, see Eqs. (A30) and (A31). The behavior of the overdamped ESBM system with \( D(t) = D_0 e^{2\alpha t} \) is thus nonergodic in the presence of ageing as well. We emphasize that the magnitude of \( \langle \delta_0^2(\Delta) \rangle / \langle \delta^2(\Delta) \rangle \) grows exponentially with the ageing time \( t_a \), see Eq. (A31) and Fig. 6. For the nonaged and aged situations (for the long enough trajectories, at \( \alpha T \gg 1 \)) at short (lag) times the magnitude of the mean TAMSD is much larger than that of the MSD. The respective proportionality factor is the exponential function of the trajectory length \( T \), as given by the theoretical predictions (A28) and confirmed by the results of computed simulations presented in Figs. 5 and 6.

B. Case \( D(t) = D_0 e^{2\alpha t} \)

1. Massive particles

The MSD for the massive particles with \( D(t) = D_0 e^{2\alpha t} \) is predicted theoretically by Eq. (B2) and presented in Fig. 7. Specifically, we find that after the initial ballistic regime (B3) at short times, the system features a linear growth of the MSD (B5) at intermediate times (in the regime \( 1/\gamma_0 \lesssim t \lesssim 1/\alpha \)), and, ultimately, at long times the MSD saturates to a plateau (with the magnitude given by Eq. (B4)). These analytical predictions are in quantitative agreement with the findings from our stochastic computer simulations, as demonstrated in Fig. BB1 (for the same model parameters as in Fig. 7; see also Fig. BB2). According to the MSD and mean TAMSD expressions (B3) and (B8), respectively, and as demonstrated by the simulations with the results shown in Fig. BB3, at short (lag) times the MSD and mean TAMSD are equal in magnitude and thus the ergodicity is preserved for ESBM with \( D(t) = D_0 e^{2\alpha t} \).

For the aged MSD \( \langle x_0^2(t) \rangle \) of the massive particles with \( D(t) = D_0 e^{2\alpha t} \) the analytical expression (B11) is obtained. The transitions from the ballistic evolution of the MSD (B12) to the ageing-renormalized linear growth of \( \langle x_0^2(t) \rangle \) (B13), and, later, from the linearly growing \( \langle x_0^2(t) \rangle \) to the ageing-renormalized plateau given by Eq. (B14) are predicted. The aged MSD behaviors are illustrated in Fig. 8 (see also Fig. BB3 for the results of computer simulations). The behavior of the aged mean TAMSD \( \langle \delta_0^2(\Delta) \rangle \) at short and intermediate times is quantified by Eqs. (B15) and (B16), with the magnitude of the aged TAMSD decreasing with the ageing
with the prefactor scaling as a power-law function of the ESBM particles with $D$ over-damped limits, compared Figs. 7 and 9. MSD plateau are found to be the same in the under- and the intermediate-time MSD behavior and the long-time $\langle x^2(t) \rangle$ clearly visible from Fig. 9. For the over-damped limit of $D(t) = D_0 e^{-2\alpha t}$ at short (lag) times the mean TAMSD is (much) smaller than the MSD (that is in contrast to the case with $D(t) = D_0 e^{2\alpha t}$), see Fig. 9. The proportionality of $\langle x^2(t) \rangle$ and $\langle \Delta^2(\Delta) \rangle$ is quantified by Eq. (B22), with the prefactor scaling as a power-law function of the trajectory length $T$. For ESBM with $D(t) = D_0 e^{-2\alpha t}$ the intermediate-time MSD behavior and the long-time MSD plateau are found to be the same in the under- and over-damped limits, compared Figs. 7 and 9.

Likewise, in the presence of ageing, for the massless ESBM particles with $D(t) = D_0 e^{-2\alpha t}$ the aged MSD $\langle x^2_0(\tau) \rangle$ and the aged mean TAMSD $\langle \Delta^2_0(\Delta) \rangle$ differ in the same proportions as in the non-aged situation, see Eqs. (B25) and (B26), respectively. Therefore, at these conditions we observe WEB in the limit of short (lag) time as well, see Fig. BB4. For the ageing ESBM with $D(t) = D_0 e^{-2\alpha t}$ the MSD magnitude is found to be reduced by the same exponential factor (B25) for the massive and massless particles, compared Figs. 8 and BB4. For the aged TAMSD the reduction of the ratio $\langle \Delta^2_0(\Delta) \rangle / \langle \Delta^2(\Delta) \rangle$ is also exponential for the massless particles, see Eq. (B26). The collection of the main results is presented in Tab. I.

C. Case $D(t) = D_0 \log[t/\tau_0]$

1. Massless particles

For the massive particles with $D(t) = D_0 \log[t/\tau_0]$ we find that the MSD at short times grows ballistically, whereas at long times the logarithmic correction to the standard diffusion law is found, see Eqs. (C8) and (C14) as well as the results of Figs. CC2, 10. The mean TAMSD follows the ballistic asymptote (C18) in the limit of short and the logarithmically corrected linear-diffusion asymptote given by Eq. (C20) in the limit of long lag times.

For ESBM of the massive particles with $D(t) = D_0 \log[t/\tau_0]$ the aged MSD starts with the same ballistic behavior at short times, Eq. (C23), and turns into the log-linear dependence (C26) at long times, with rather weak effects of the actual value of the ageing time $t_a$ on the magnitude of $\langle x^2_0(t) \rangle$, see the theoretical results in Fig. 11 and the findings of computer simulations in Fig. CC6. The aged mean TAMSD at short (C29) and intermediate-to-long (C30) lag times is in the leading order equal in magnitude to the aged MSD, so that the ergodicity is maintained in the aged system of massive particles with $D(t) = D_0 \log[t/\tau_0]$. Thus, similarly to the cases of $D(t) = D_0 e^{2\alpha t}$, in this case the behavior of both the nonaged and aged system is mostly ergodic at short times, contrary to that of the massless particles which yields the dramatic disparity in the magnitudes of the MSD and mean TAMSD, see also Tab. I.

2. Massless particles

For the massless particles with $D(t) = D_0 \log[t/\tau_0]$ the nonaged MSD $\langle x^2(t) \rangle$ at short times is ballistic, see Eq. (C32) as well as Fig. CC4. In contrast, at short lag times the mean TAMSD $\langle \Delta^2(\Delta) \rangle$ exhibits a linear growth quantified by Eq. (C36), see Fig. CC4. The system thus exhibits WEB in this regime. The log-like “weak” correction to the diffusion law for $D(t) = D_0 \log[t/\tau_0]$ predicted analytically (C33) is verified by computer simulations in Fig. CC5 (see also Fig. 10). The logarithmic rescaling of the $\langle x^2_0(t) \rangle$ and $\langle \Delta^2_0(\Delta) \rangle$ predicted analytically by Eqs. (C40) and (C41) is quantitatively confirmed by computer simulations, as shown in Fig. CC6.

Likewise, in the presence of ageing for the massless particles with $D(t) = D_0 \log[t/\tau_0]$ the magnitudes of the aged MSD $\langle x^2_0(\tau) \rangle$ given by Eq. (C38) and of the aged mean TAMSD $\langle \Delta^2_0(\Delta) \rangle$ in (C39) are not equal, and the system exhibits WEB, as quantified by (C42). For the massless particles with $D(t) = D_0 \log[t/\tau_0]$ the log-like
V. Water time-dependent diffusion in the brain slows down with time

In this section, we discuss one biophysical application of the models with time-dependent diffusivity of power-law-like, exponential, and logarithmic functional forms, namely, the diffusion of water molecules in brain tissues.

A. Observations and definitions

Shortly, white matter of the brain mainly consists of myelinated axons (a high content of fatty lipids in the myelin sheath surrounding an axon), while gray matter is mainly composed of neurons. Water diffusion in white matter of a "normal" human brain takes place considerably faster along the axonal tracts, while gray matter lacks such oriented fiber structures and features more isotropic diffusion. Water diffusion in neural tissues—inherently restricted and anisotropic due to the presence of axonal bundles or neurofibrils—is affected by compartmentalization effects on the μm-scale (the diameter of a typical axon).

Historically, the time-dependent diffusion \( D(t) \) in different brain regions \textit{in vivo} for a time range from 40 to 800 ms was observed in Ref.\textsuperscript{125} and qualitatively understood as diffusion in porous media and with semipermeable barriers or compartments\textsuperscript{206}. The quality of diffusion-weighted magnetic-resonance imaging (dMRI) acquisition rapidly improves in recent years and enables the investigation of \textit{in vivo} mesoscopic effects and quantification of axonal fibers connectivity and alignment\textsuperscript{127}.

One should distinguish the physical/apparent or cumulative\textsuperscript{132} diffusion coefficient

\[
D(t) = \frac{\text{MSD}(t)}{2t}
\]

and the coefficient of instantaneous diffusion,

\[
D_{\text{inst}}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \text{MSD}(t)}{\partial t}.
\]

The latter relation actually leads to the \( D(t) \) definition similar to that for the TAMSD (7), namely\textsuperscript{127}.

\[
D(t) = \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^t D_{\text{inst}}(t') dt'.
\]

The homogenization procedure used in the data analysis also yields nonzero, time-dependent higher-order cumulants (the so-called diffusional kurtosis)\textsuperscript{132}. The latter measures the residual inhomogeneity of the medium and indicates incomplete coarse-graining\textsuperscript{132} of disordered microstructure as well as water exchange between neighboring compartments\textsuperscript{32}. Performing coarse-graining in disordered systems at multiple scales—as typically required for biological tissues, at a progressively increasing diffusion length \( \ell_d(t) \)—yields the notion of time-dependent non-Gaussian diffusion\textsuperscript{30}. The absence of a stationary diffusivity value is consistent with observation of anomalous diffusion at respective length- and time-scales, also indicating the absence of medium homogenization on this scale\textsuperscript{30}.

B. Realizable \( D(t) \) forms and physical rationale

Mathematically, the two-compartment-based model was rationalized\textsuperscript{207} to quantify water diffusion in the brain using some concepts of the Kärger exchange-diffusivity model\textsuperscript{208}. On the time scales longer than the correlation time for a single compartment of the medium,
FIG. 12: (a): dMRI scan showing regions of cortical gray matter featuring power-law-like variations of the diffusion coefficient, see Eq. (35). In red domains the cumulative $D(t)$ was averaged, with the power-law exponent $\vartheta \approx 1/2$ being measured for different individual gray-matter regions. The image is reproduced from Ref.\textsuperscript{132}, with permission of Elsevier. (b) The regions of white matter where cumulative $D(t)$ of the form (40) was observed\textsuperscript{128} for diffusion-tensor eigenvalues transverse to the main white matter tracts. The image is reproduced from Ref.\textsuperscript{128}, with permission of Elsevier.

the $D(t)$ follows an inverse power law of the universal form\textsuperscript{126,128,131–133}

$$D(t) = D_\infty + A_\vartheta \times t^{-\vartheta}. \quad (35)$$

Here $D_\infty$ is the long-time diffusivity and

$$\vartheta = (d + p)/2 < 1 \quad (36)$$

is the dynamical scaling exponent (which is half the sum of the spatial dimensionality of the compartments $d$ and the structural exponent $p$). The latter describes inhomogeneities of a disordered microstructure of the medium\textsuperscript{132} defining its universality class\textsuperscript{130}. Experimentally, for dMRI of water diffusion in the brain\textsuperscript{134}, the compartmentalized microstructure of gray-matter tissues was shown to yield inverse-power-law cumulative $D(t)$ described by (35), see Fig. 12a. As dMRI measures the cumulative $D(t)$, the power-law dependence observed in experiments is never faster than $D(t) \sim 1/t^{130}$.

The theoretical basis for $D(t)$ of the form (35) with $\vartheta = 1/2$ was developed\textsuperscript{131} for any space dimension $d$ using the concepts of spatially restricted motion by disorderedly positioned and oriented impermeable membrane-like barriers. The long-time diffusivity in (35) is assumed theoretically and measured experimentally to dominate the overall diffusivity magnitude yielding the long-time Gaussian diffusion, whereas small time-dependent corrections to it are treated as perturbations, resulting in non-Gaussian diffusion at that time scale. The time-dependent diffusivity itself is indicative of not yet complete coarse-graining procedure.

For human cortical gray matter $D(t)$ was measured to reveal a weak and rather noisy dependence at up to 100 ms, with $\vartheta \approx 0.08$ (see, e.g., Fig. 3b in Ref.\textsuperscript{132}). Note that experimental limitations on the dMRI-accessible time-range hamper the determination of the exact functional form of $D(t)$ decreasing with time as well as the actual exponent $\vartheta$. Accessing short-time data could help the analysis to unambiguously clarify the precise functional dependence of $D(t)$ (power-law or exponential decay, or a superposition of these two $D(t)$ forms)\textsuperscript{209}.

For dMRI, the typical scale of medium heterogeneities is controlled by the diffusion length,

$$l_d(t) \sim \sqrt{\text{MSD}(t)} \sim 1 \ldots 60 \, \text{mm}, \quad (37)$$

corresponding to diffusion times $t_d \sim 1 \ldots 10^6 \, \text{ms}$\textsuperscript{130}. In neuronal tissues, the clinically employed dMRI diffusion times are $t_d \sim 10 \ldots 10^2 \, \text{ms}$\textsuperscript{127}. More heterogeneous samples lead to a slower approach to stationarity and, thus, smaller values of the dynamical exponent $\vartheta$\textsuperscript{130} for $D(t)$ in (35). Totally regular environments give rise to a quick, exponential relaxation of the instantaneous diffusivity to its long-time value\textsuperscript{127,130},

$$D_{\text{inst}}(t) = D_\infty + A_{\exp} \times \exp(-t/\tau), \quad (38)$$

and the value of the $p$ exponent goes to infinity\textsuperscript{130}. Exponential decay can occur for $D_{\text{inst}}(t)$, but for the cumulative $D(t)$ it is was not yet observed experimentally in the brain\textsuperscript{209}. Note, however, that to quantitatively distinguish between the two decreasing $D(t)$ forms (35) and (38) the dMRI measurements in a broader time-range are necessary\textsuperscript{130}. In contrast, in the presence of strong fluctuations/irregularities the exponent $p$ decreases, yielding a slower approach of $D(t)$ to stationarity (due to overall smaller $\vartheta$). For $\vartheta > 1$ one gets\textsuperscript{126–128}

$$D(t) = D_\infty + A_1 \times t^{-1} \quad (39)$$

and in this case water molecules are fully confined.

Finally, the diffusivity in the "borderline case" $\vartheta = 1$ acquires mathematically a logarithmic dependence on time, in addition to a power law, namely\textsuperscript{126–129,132}

$$D(t) = D_\infty + A_{\log} \times \log[t/t_0]/t. \quad (40)$$

The diffusivity slowly approaching the macroscopic limit following (40) was proposed\textsuperscript{127–128} to arise experimentally in water diffusion in white matter in vivo. A cumulative $D(t)$ of this form was observed for diffusion tensor eigenvalues transverse to main white matter tracts\textsuperscript{128}. It was proposed\textsuperscript{128} that systematic $\sim \log t$-like deviations in $D(t)$ from the $\sim 1/t$ scaling occur as a consequence of short-range disorder in the extra-axonal space.

From the mathematical perspective, depending on one- or two-dimensional disorder, effectively, one gets different dynamical exponents in $D_{\text{inst}}(t)$: namely, it is a $\sim t^{-1}2$ scaling for one dimension (along white-matter fibers or along randomly oriented dendrites and axons in gray matter) and a $\sim t^{-1}$ scaling for two dimensions (transverse to white-matter tracts)\textsuperscript{209}. These dependencies in the $D_{\text{inst}}(t)$ translate to $\sim t^{-1}2$ and $\sim (\log t)/t$ functional forms in the cumulative $D(t)$, as follows from the definition, see Eq. (34) (we thank D. Novikov for
clarification\textsuperscript{209}). Ball-like structural swellings irregularly occurring along axons modify the standard diffusion law\textsuperscript{132} along them, from that in one dimension to that in the presence of interruptions and quasi-two-dimensional swollen regions. We emphasize also a large innate polydispersity existing for axon calibers\textsuperscript{211}.

C. Quantitative diagnostics based on $D(t)$-properties

From the diagnostic perspective, the dMRI is a sensitive technique for the detailed \textit{in vivo} measurement of microstructural features of various biological tissues (both healthy and pathological) on the $\mu$m-scale\textsuperscript{133}. Recent evidence of diffusion along major human-white-matter axonal tracts revealed non-Gaussian and non-trivially time-dependent diffusion\textsuperscript{133}. In gray-matter tissues, $D(t)$-diffusion was also observed, making some diffusion features along axons and dendrites universal for neuronal tissues. The functional $D(t)$ form (35) was recently shown to be most sensitive to variations of the axon diameter/caliber along the fiber on the microscale (constructing thereby a heterogeneous landscape along a given tract)\textsuperscript{134}.

This fact offered the physical-biological rationale for restrictions of and impediments for water diffusion\textsuperscript{133} shown mathematically\textsuperscript{207} to yield $D(t)$ of the form (35). The parameters in (35) were demonstrated to be altered in patients with neurodegenerative diseases, such as multiple sclerosis\textsuperscript{133}. Specifically, the value of the bulk diffusivity $D_\infty$ was shown to increase in such pathological tissues, while the factor $A_{\exp}$ was revealed to decrease measurably and systematically, as compared to that in the healthy tissue\textsuperscript{133}. These two model parameters are, thus, rather sensitive indicators for detecting certain pathological tissue regions and (hopefully) proposing a pertinent physical mechanism for such abnormalities in tissue functioning in terms of permeability by water, also shedding new light onto possible pathological mechanisms of formation of multiple-sclerosis lesion\textsuperscript{133}.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time-dependent diffusivity scenario</th>
<th>MSD TAMS</th>
<th>Short time</th>
<th>Intermediate time</th>
<th>Long time</th>
<th>WEB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>massive D(t) = $D_0 e^{2\alpha t}$ nonaged</td>
<td>$\langle x(t)^2 \rangle / \delta^2(t)$</td>
<td>$\approx D_0 \gamma_0 \alpha^2 t^2$, Eq. (A6)</td>
<td>$\approx 2D_0 t$, Eq. (A8)</td>
<td>$\approx D_0 \gamma_0 \alpha^2 t^2$, Eq. (A9)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>massive D(t) = $D_0 e^{2\alpha t}$ aged</td>
<td>$\langle x(t)^2 \rangle / \delta^2(t)$</td>
<td>$\approx D_0 \gamma_0 \alpha^2 t^2$, Eq. (A8)</td>
<td>Eq. (A7)</td>
<td>Eq. (A7)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>massless D(t) = $D_0 e^{2\alpha t}$ nonaged</td>
<td>$\langle x(t)^2 \rangle / \delta^2(t)$</td>
<td>$\approx 2D_0 t$, Eq. (A25)</td>
<td>$\approx 2D_0 \Delta (1 + 2\alpha T)$, Eqs. (A12), (A14), (A15)</td>
<td>$\approx D_0 \gamma_0 \alpha^2 t^2$, Eq. (A26)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>massless D(t) = $D_0 e^{2\alpha t}$ aged</td>
<td>$\langle x(t)^2 \rangle / \delta^2(t)$</td>
<td>$\approx 2D_0 t$, Eq. (A25)</td>
<td>Eq. (A17)</td>
<td>Eq. (A17)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>massive D(t) = $D_0 e^{-2\alpha t}$ nonaged</td>
<td>$\langle x(t)^2 \rangle / \delta^2(t)$</td>
<td>$\approx D_0 \gamma_0 \alpha^2 t^2$, Eq. (B12)</td>
<td>$\approx 2D_0 t$, Eq. (B5)</td>
<td>$\approx D_0 \gamma_0 \alpha^2 t^2$, Eq. (B6)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>massive D(t) = $D_0 e^{-2\alpha t}$ aged</td>
<td>$\langle x(t)^2 \rangle / \delta^2(t)$</td>
<td>$\approx D_0 \gamma_0 \alpha^2 t^2$, Eq. (B12)</td>
<td>$\approx 2D_0 t$, Eq. (B5)</td>
<td>$\approx D_0 \gamma_0 \alpha^2 t^2$, Eq. (B6)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>massless D(t) = $D_0 e^{-2\alpha t}$ nonaged</td>
<td>$\langle x(t)^2 \rangle / \delta^2(t)$</td>
<td>$\approx 2D_0 t e^{-2\alpha t}$, Eq. (B21)</td>
<td>$\approx 2D_0 t$, Eq. (B21)</td>
<td>$\approx 2D_0 t e^{-2\alpha t}$, Eq. (B22)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>massless D(t) = $D_0 e^{-2\alpha t}$ aged</td>
<td>$\langle x(t)^2 \rangle / \delta^2(t)$</td>
<td>$\approx 2D_0 t e^{-2\alpha t}$, Eq. (B21)</td>
<td>$\approx 2D_0 t$, Eq. (B21)</td>
<td>$\approx 2D_0 t e^{-2\alpha t}$, Eq. (B22)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>massive D(t) = $D_0 \log [\tau_t / \gamma_0]$ nonaged</td>
<td>$\langle x(t)^2 \rangle / \delta^2(t)$</td>
<td>$\approx D_0 \gamma_0 \alpha^2 t^2$, Eq. (C8)</td>
<td>Eq. (C6)</td>
<td>$\approx 2D_0 \log \frac{\gamma_0}{\tau_t} t$, Eq. (C14)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>massive D(t) = $D_0 \log [\tau_t / \gamma_0]$ aged</td>
<td>$\langle x(t)^2 \rangle / \delta^2(t)$</td>
<td>$\approx D_0 \gamma_0 \alpha^2 t^2$, Eq. (C8)</td>
<td>Eq. (C6)</td>
<td>$\approx 2D_0 \log \frac{\gamma_0}{\tau_t} t$, Eq. (C14)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>massless D(t) = $D_0 \log [\tau_t / \gamma_0]$ nonaged</td>
<td>$\langle x(t)^2 \rangle / \delta^2(t)$</td>
<td>$\approx 2D_0 t e^{-2\alpha t}$, Eq. (C22)</td>
<td>$\approx 2D_0 t$, Eq. (C22)</td>
<td>$\approx 2D_0 t e^{-2\alpha t}$, Eq. (C23)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>massless D(t) = $D_0 \log [\tau_t / \gamma_0]$ aged</td>
<td>$\langle x(t)^2 \rangle / \delta^2(t)$</td>
<td>$\approx 2D_0 t e^{-2\alpha t}$, Eq. (C22)</td>
<td>$\approx 2D_0 t$, Eq. (C22)</td>
<td>$\approx 2D_0 t e^{-2\alpha t}$, Eq. (C23)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>massless D(t) = $D_0 \log [\tau_t / \gamma_0]$ nonaged</td>
<td>$\langle x(t)^2 \rangle / \delta^2(t)$</td>
<td>$\approx 2D_0 t e^{-2\alpha t}$, Eq. (C22)</td>
<td>$\approx 2D_0 t$, Eq. (C22)</td>
<td>$\approx 2D_0 t e^{-2\alpha t}$, Eq. (C23)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>massless D(t) = $D_0 \log [\tau_t / \gamma_0]$ aged</td>
<td>$\langle x(t)^2 \rangle / \delta^2(t)$</td>
<td>$\approx 2D_0 t e^{-2\alpha t}$, Eq. (C22)</td>
<td>$\approx 2D_0 t$, Eq. (C22)</td>
<td>$\approx 2D_0 t e^{-2\alpha t}$, Eq. (C23)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE I:** Summary of the asymptotic results for the $D(t) = D_0 e^{\pm 2\alpha t}$ and $D(t) = D_0 \log [\tau_t / \gamma_0]$ diffusion scenarios regarding the MSD and mean TAMSD (for the nonaged and aged conditions) at short, intermediate, and long times. The conclusion regarding WEB—the equivalence of the MSD and the TAMSD in the limit of short (log) times and long trajectories, given by Eq. (12)—is provided in the last column.
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